Effect of Titanium on the Martensitic Transformation Temperature of Cu-14Al-4Ni Shape Memory Alloy Rapidly Solidified
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Abstract: The present research aimed to analyze the influence that different contents of titanium (x = 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 wt.%) have on the martensitic transformation temperature of a Cu-14Al-4Ni (wt.%) SMA (shape memory alloy). The Cu-14Al-4Ni-xTi samples were casted in an arc-melting furnace and rapidly solidified. All samples underwent heat treatment in a tubular furnace at a temperature of 1,100 °C for 30 min and water quenched at 25 °C. Subsequently, samples were analyzed by SEM (scanning electron microscopy) with EDS (energy dispersive spectroscopy), XRD (X-ray diffraction) and DSC (differential scanning calorimeter). SEM images and XRD patterns showed that the presence of titanium modified the alloy’s microstructure, induced the formation of three titanium rich phases called “X” phase (CuNi₂Ti, Cu₃Ti and AlCu₂Ti) and reduced the presence of the brittle phase γ₂ (Cu₉Al₄) for samples with 0.6 and 0.7 wt.% Ti. The titanium added to the copper based SMA also functioned as a refiner, reducing GS (grain size) up to approximately 80% with the increase of Ti content. DSC results exhibited low enthalpy levels, hysteresis, as well as low start martensitic transformation temperatures.
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Nomenclature

SMA shape memory alloy
SME shape memory effect
SEM scanning electron microscopy
EDS energy dispersive spectroscopy
XRD X-ray diffraction
DSC differential scanning calorimeter
RMT reversible martensitic transformations
CT critical temperatures

Greek Letters

θ diffraction angle
β’₁ martensitic phase
γ₂ brittle phase

1. Introduction

The SMAs’ (shape memory alloys) properties are mostly related to the reversible martensitic transformations (RMT) that usually occur in a temperature range of -100 °C to 300 °C, depending on the alloy’s composition. The RMTs are characterized by low energy and elevated interface mobility between phases, martensitic and matrix, when subjected to small temperatures variations or strain application. These characteristics, associated to symmetry alteration during the transformations result in crystallographic reversible thermoelastic martensitic transformations [1].

There are many alloys that exhibit SME (shape memory effect) such as Ni-Ti, copper and iron-based alloys [2]. The copper based SMAs have been attracting attention of scientists and researches due to low cost, wide range of transformation temperature, high thermal stability and low hysteresis level, as well as their easy production. Among them, the Cu-14Al-4Ni (wt.%) offers a greater potential for
engineering applications because of its high transformation temperatures [3] and better thermal stability comparing to the Cu-Zn-Al. However, due to its susceptibility to brittle intergranular fracture, caused by elastic anisotropy, multiple nucleation of cracks on the grain boundaries occur, which limits its industrial application. Nonetheless, the low ductility of the Cu-14Al-4Ni polycrystalline alloy can be enhanced by grain refinement through the addition of Ti, Nb, B, Mn, for instance [4].

The rapid solidification process can form structures with very particular characteristics and of great technological interest, such as: grain refinement, homogeneous structure without segregation, supersaturated solid solutions, metastable phases and amorphous structures [5]. These structures can mitigate the effects that cause SME degradation.

Nevertheless, it is necessary research regarding the influence of refining elements addition on thermal behavior for Cu-14Al-Ni SMAs, associated with an adequate obtaining method. Therefore, low cost would not be the only criteria for selection, but also the alloys peculiar properties can be explored more efficiently and emphasizing less on the alloys degradation.

In this study, different contents of titanium were added to a Cu-14Al-4Ni by arc-melting and rapid solidification process. The main objective was to relate phase transformation temperatures and thermal enthalpy levels, with phases formed and GS (grain size).

### 2. Methodology

The elements selected to produce the alloy had a high purity level (99.99%). Cu-14Al-4Ni (wt.%) and \(x\) Ti (\(x = 0.5\), 0.6 and 0.7 wt.%) were casted in a arc-melting furnace, in an inert atmosphere with argon gas, and solidified rapidly in a copper mold at a temperature of 20 °C. There were obtained four ingots with a flat shape (3 mm × 5 mm × 35 mm) of approximately 5 g each.

Temperature and time of heat treatment (betatization) were extracted from a thermal analysis. Each alloy underwent a heating of 30 °C up to 1,400 °C in a 50 °C/min rate in Calorimeter SDQ600 TA Instruments of Differential Thermal Analysis. After the analysis results, all samples were heat treated in a tubular furnace with argon gas, at 1,100 °C, for 30 min and water quenched at 25 °C.

Micro-structural and properties characterization were performed with SEM (scanning electron microscopy), EDS (energy dispersive spectroscopy), XRD (X-ray diffraction) and DSC (differential scanning calorimeter). SEM (TESCAN model LMU VEGA 3) was used to evaluate the martensitic evolution and new phases formed by adding titanium. Along with SEM, EDS mapping was applied to verify chemical composition in 5 different sample zones. XRD analyses were carried out in a Shimadzu model XRD-7000, radiation CuK\(\alpha\), with 20 ranging between 20° and 100°, and a 1°/min scanning step. In order to identify and quantify the formed phases, Rietveld method was used with softwares Maud and X-pert HighScore. DSC analyses were executed in Perkin Elmer DSC8000, with a 10°/min rate varying the temperature from 0 °C to 250 °C. The calculation of GS was done through first direct measurement with SEM, based on ASTM E112, and use of Eq. (1) [6], where G is the ASTM grain size and L is the measured grain length, in millimeters.

\[
G = -3.2877 - [6.6439 \times \log (L_{mm})]
\]  

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Material Composition

The alloys’ chemical composition, determined by EDS mapping, is shown in Table 1. The compositions mapped are in agreement with the nominal percentages attributed to the obtained alloys. This is supported by the information that a vacuum process and rapid solidification contribute to the material chemical composite control [7, 8].
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### Table 1  Samples chemical composition analyzed by EDS (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloys</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0%Ti</td>
<td>81.08 ± 0.09</td>
<td>15.26 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%Ti</td>
<td>81.60 ± 0.07</td>
<td>14.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6%Ti</td>
<td>82.86 ± 0.04</td>
<td>13.43 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7%Ti</td>
<td>80.91 ± 0.10</td>
<td>14.64 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  XRD patterns for all samples after heat treatment.

#### 3.2 XRD Patterns

Fig. 1 shows the crystalline structure evolution of the studied alloys after heat treatment and quenching [9]. The CuAlNi alloy exhibited predominant phase (Cu₁₉Al₄), caused by the decomposition of phase, of polycrystalline characteristics, which tends to increase the alloy brittleness [9]. XRD analysis showed a microstructure with phase (90.7%) and presence of phase (9.3%), of chemical formula NiAl. The phase exhibits a typical martensitic structure ($\beta_1^{'}$ 30.19° and 63.5°).

The 0.5%Ti presented 4.98% of “X” phase, of chemical composition CuNi₂Ti and $\gamma_2$ phase (95.02%). However, for 0.6%Ti, the addition of 0.6% of Ti could have performed as a refiner, contributing to the reduction of $\gamma_2$ phase (53.95%) and for the formation of two titanium phases: CuNi₂Ti (41.65%) and AlCu₂Ti (4.4%). The XRD pattern for the 0.7%Ti exhibited a benefic influence of the titanium content added in relation to reduction of brittle phase Cu₁₉Al₄ (38.70%). Furthermore, its addition contributed to phases CuNi₂Ti (49.35%) and AlCu₂Ti (6.31%) increase of quantity, and also, formed a typical martensitic structure, ordered by Cu₃Ti (5.6%) known as “X” phase as well [2].

#### 3.3 SEM Analysis

The images obtained with SEM, in Figs. 2 and 3, favored the visualization of needle-like shape structure on each alloy, with and without Ti, typical of a martensitic structure. According to Otsuka and Wayman [11], these structures are characteristics of $\gamma_2$ phase, in which its presence on the alloy is confirmed through further XRD analyses. The sample CuAlNi, in Fig. 2a, showed thin grain boundaries and thin and long needles, identified by the red arrows, typical martensitic structure formed after heat treatment. The grains presented a polygonal morphology, with tendency equiaxial. The sample 0.5%Ti, in Fig. 2b, exhibited more visible and thick grain boundaries, when compared to the alloy without Ti, which could be related to the higher presence of $\gamma_2$ phase (95.02%), and also exhibited a small presence of “X” phase (4.98%) shown by the circled area in Fig. 2b.

In Figs. 3a and 3b, 0.6%Ti and 0.7%Ti reveled the “X” phase, identified by red arrows and circle. It is possible to notice that these samples, with 0.6% and 0.7% of Ti, presented a greater concentration of $\gamma_2$ phase and “X” phase, confirmed by the obtained XRD pattern.

#### 3.4 Thermal Analyses—Phase Transformation Temperature

Fig. 4 shows DSC results for the obtained samples. The reverse martensitic transformation (RMT) for CuAlNi occurred at a +20.46 °C (As) to +91.3 °C (Af) range. The DSC analysis presents a peak temperature of +62.31 °C (Ap), when the heat flow is maximal.
At cooling, the direct RMT occurs at a +131.15 °C (Ms) to +44.7 °C (Mf) range and peak temperature at +98.18 °C (Mp), associated with the exothermic process with 2.23 J/g enthalpy ($\Delta h_M$). The thermal hysteresis amplitude measurement was determined by the difference between critical peak temperatures and equal to 35.88 °C. The RMT of sample 0.5%Ti happens from +29.56 °C (As) to +102.80 °C (Af), through the endothermic process with phase transformation enthalpy of 1.9 J/g ($\Delta h_A$). The peak temperature registered was +91.53 °C (Ap).

At cooling, the direct RTM happens from +140.98 °C (Ms) to +87.00 °C (Mf), associated with the exothermic process with an enthalpy of 2.05 J/g ($\Delta h_M$) and a peak temperature of +123.80 °C (Mp). The thermal hysteresis was 32.27 °C. For sample 0.6%Ti, RMT occurs from +20.49 °C (As) to +97.8 °C (Af), in an endothermic process with a phase transformation enthalpy of 2.14 J/g ($\Delta h_A$). The recorded peak temperature was +86.7 °C (Ap). At cooling, the direct RMT occurs at a +130.08 °C (Ms) to +59.3 °C (Mf) range and peak temperature at 116.8 °C (Mp), associated with the exothermic process with a 2.2 J/g enthalpy ($\Delta h_M$). The hysteresis calculated was approximately 30.13 °C. The RMT of sample 0.7%Ti happens from +20.42 °C (As) to +82.8 °C (Af), through the endothermic process with phase transformation enthalpy of 2.1 J/g ($\Delta h_A$). The peak temperature recorded was +67.55 °C (Ap). At cooling, the direct RTM happens from +130.5 °C (Ms) to +44.55 °C (Mf), associated with the exothermic process with an enthalpy of 2.3 J/g ($\Delta h_M$) and a peak temperature of 99.4 °C (Mp). The thermal hysteresis was 31.86 °C.
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Fig. 4 DSC curves of samples: CuAlNi, 0.5%Ti, 0.6%Ti and 0.7%Ti.

Table 2 summarizes the critical temperatures of thermal events obtained for each sample DSC analyzed. The high value of $M_f$ for 0.5%Ti could be related to the high percentage of $\gamma_2$ phase of chemical composition Cu$_9$Al$_4$. This phase has capability of increasing phase transformation temperatures [12]. The transformation temperature decreases with the increase of Al. With a 14 wt.% Al, the $M_f$, is around environment temperature [13].

Probably, the low values for enthalpy and low thermal hysteresis variations among the samples were affected by the refinement mechanism due to the rapid solidification process. According to the comparative graph shown in Fig. 5, the enthalpy values and thermal
Table 2 Temperatures of thermal events analyzed by DSC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT (°C)</th>
<th>0.0%Ti</th>
<th>0.5%Ti</th>
<th>0.6%Ti</th>
<th>0.7%Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>131.10</td>
<td>141.00</td>
<td>126.50</td>
<td>130.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mf</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>44.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp</td>
<td>98.20</td>
<td>123.80</td>
<td>111.30</td>
<td>99.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>29.60</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>20.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Af</td>
<td>91.30</td>
<td>102.80</td>
<td>97.80</td>
<td>82.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>62.30</td>
<td>91.50</td>
<td>86.70</td>
<td>60.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysteresis</td>
<td>35.87</td>
<td>32.27</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>31.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δhₐ (J/g)</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δhₘ (J/g)</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph comparing phase transformation temperatures and enthalpies of the alloy. Hysteresis presented small variations between the alloy without Ti and the samples with different contents of Ti.

The enthalpies levels shown by the SMAs are relatively low, ranging from 1.9 J/g and 2.3 J/g. These values are consistent with the ones found in literature. And it was lower once comparing to 5.3 J/g obtained by Lee and Wayman [14]. Enthalpy could depend on the precipitates density, which are responsible for the grain growth. The low energy level involved in the phase transformation is linked to the microstructure refinement due to the rapid solidification process that reduces the crystalline lattice imperfections. However, a high hysteresis level in relation to the literature was observed. For example, a low hysteresis level is appreciable for sensor applications. For applications with a necessity of a shape recovery in large scale with sufficient force, for instance tube coupling, the transformation β₂ - β₁₉ (including β₂ - R) is useful. In this case, a high hysteresis value is required [11].

3.5 GS

Fig. 6 shows GS measurements for each obtained alloy. The positive effect that the increase of titanium addition has on the Cu-14Al-4Ni SMA, rapidly solidified and submitted to betatization and quenching, in relation to GS reduction can be verified.

The GS reduction implies enhanced mechanical properties due to a reduction of brittleness. Rapid solidification rate tends to reduce the \( M_s \) [15]. A decrease of \( M_s \) is followed by a GS lowering and the increase of titanium content. Titanium addition causes GS decrease, in Table 3, because the titanium rich precipitates presences inhibit grain growth during betatization. Adding titanium implicates a martensitic transformation temperature reduction with an increase of solidification rate [14].

The GS decrease in function of the increase of titanium percentages can be justified by a Ti refinement

Table 3 Average GS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloys</th>
<th>MEV (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0%Ti</td>
<td>79.00 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%Ti</td>
<td>48.00 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6%Ti</td>
<td>28.00 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7%Ti</td>
<td>16.00 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the grain matrix. Titanium reduces diffusion rate of constituent atoms, resulting in grain refinement after casting. Therefore, titanium additions have an effective influence on the phase transformation behavior by the formation of new phases on the microstructure, Ti rich, which controls grain growth.

4. Conclusions

According to the analyses developed on this research, it was possible to verify and confirm the influence of different titanium contents on the martensitic transformation temperature of a Cu-14Al-4Ni SMA. The results obtained are summarized as following:

   (1) The alloys presented a low enthalpy level, low start martensitic transformation temperatures (M_s), and hysteresis, which are followed by GS reduction (up to 80%) and the increase of titanium concentration;

   (2) It is probable that the higher martensitic transformation temperatures presented for sample 0.5%Ti are related to the high Cu9Al4 phase percentages of 95.02%;

   (3) However, the lower temperatures can be associated to the presence of titanium rich phases (CuNi2Ti, CuNi2Ti, Cu3Ti and AlCu2Ti), also known as “X” phase, and the rapid solidification process;

   (4) The slight thermal hysteresis increase of 0.7%Ti, comparing to 0.6%Ti, could be a result of the Cu3Ti phase presence;

   (5) A reduction of the heat flow, which is necessary for the phase transformation, was noticed.
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