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There has been an increasing interest in the Chinese excavated documents of western scholars. With different version of excavated *Laozi*, it attracts the attention of researchers. This paper examines the study of unearthed *Laozi* by western scholars by using Mawangtui and Guodian *Laozi*, attempts to give readers a basic picture of unearthed *Laozi* study in western academia. Since there is no way that I can hope to introduce all western studies of unearthed *Laozi*, this paper will restrict the presentation to just the following topic: Mawangtui *Laozi*, Guodian *Laozi*, “Tai Yi Sheng Shui”, “Wu Xing”, and Methodology.
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**Introduction**

The purpose of this article is to give a relatively comprehensive survey of western Sinologists’ studies of *Laozi* (老子) from the pre-Qin through Han periods. This idea comes from an article written by Martin Kern and he raises a question that deserves attention (Kern, 2019). He points out monolingualism and monoculturalism are the fundamental limitations of the current ancient Chinese studies in Mainland China. Too many Chinese scholars cannot master any foreign languages. Even if a translation works has been published, Chinese scholars have so far paid very little attention to them. Of course, many translation works also have mistranslations.

I believe the problems he mentioned are common in Mainland China nowadays. Therefore, I hope to use this article to introduce the study of excavated *Laozi* of scholars in Western academia to Chinese scholars. I do not believe that the West must be stronger than Chinese scholars in China studies. But today, in the globalization era of the 21st century, Chinese scholars do not pay attention to the results of Western scholarship, they just stop their own feet and have no benefit to academics.

In this paper, I want to first elaborate briefly on Mawangtui (馬王堆) and Guodian (郭店) archaeological discoveries, introduce excavated *Laozi* in these two discoveries; secondly, I will present methodology study on this topic, and thirdly, I will provide a reconsideration on reading excavated *Laozi*.

No one will quest the importance of Mawangtui and Guodian archaeological discoveries for the study of excavated *Laozi*. In the last months of 1973 two texts of the *Laozi* were found in a cache of manuscripts written on silk in Han Tomb No.3 at Mawangtui in Changsha (長沙), Hunan (湖南) Province (Xiao, 1974). The Silk Manuscripts provide us with two versions of the *Laozi*. Based upon a study of tabooed words, the experts state
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that version A, which is written in seal script, must have been completed no later than 195 B.C., and that version B, in clerical script, may have been written between 194 and 180 B.C., thus representing by far the oldest extant texts of the Taoist classic. After thirty years of Mawangtui discovery, another Laozi text unearthed from a tomb near Guodian, Hubei (湖北) Province. After archeologists from the Jingzhou (荆州) Museum received news that tomb robbers had disturbed a tomb in a cemetery at the village of Guodian, they formally excavated the tomb, discovering that it was a Chu (楚) tomb from the transition period between the mid and late Warring States period (i.e., c. 300 B.C.), and that it contained over 700 bamboo strips with writing on them (Wang & Tang, 1997). Guodian proves ideal for a qualitative study of text and thought in Warring States China.

The texts were part of a tomb assemblage and came to light during a documented excavation. As many scholars argue, they have in common the endeavor to establish stable philosophical concepts. They were part of a discipline and may be termed broadly “philosophical”. To date, Guodian One is the only well-documented Warring States tomb to contain a variety of such texts (Meyer, 2012).

**Mawangtui**

As we know, Laozi is said to be the world’s second-most frequently translated book (after the Bible), with more than 200 different translations just in western languages. There have already been at least six translations of Mawangdui Laozi, listed below:


There is no doubt that the discovery of Mawangdui has caused extremely widespread concern. These translations also have their own features. D.C. Lau published an English translation of Laozi in 1963 and was been read by western readers. In 1982 volume, of course, it is different from the 1963 one, but in general, the differences are not manifest. Comparing D.C. Lau, Henricks’ s 1989 translation is at least more influential in the United States. From 1979 to 1999, he published at least six papers on Mawangdui Laozi. Most of his research has inherited the work of Chinese scholars. At least it can be said that his research methods are not much different from Chinese scholars (Shaughnessy, 2019, p. 291). The most peculiar is surely that by Victor Mair. He has in-depth research on Dunhuang (敦煌) transformation texts and believes that Buddhist preachers have a wide influence on Chinese culture. He argued that many of the basic terms in the Laozi are related to Indo-European languages, such as: “track” and “trek”; “德” and “doughty”; “得” and “integrity”; “經” and “filament” or “file”. His translation was done for a general readership, and the discussion of the etymology of these words was provided in an article (Mair, 1990).
Several German scholars also published studies of the Mawangdui *Laozi* manuscripts, listed below:


Special attention should be paid to Matthias Richter, his papers propose an extremely rigorous paleographic methodology for dealing with variants within the Mawangdui *Laozi* manuscripts, with numerous implications for the writing and reading of all manuscripts (Shaughnessy, 2019, p. 296).

Many scholars have discussed the early Chinese intellectual history in the text of Mawangdui *Laozi* B scroll. The first one must be given place for A.C. Graham’s “A Neglected Pre-Han Philosophical Text: Ho-kuan-tzu.”¹ He was the pre-eminent historian of ancient Chinese thought after the Second World War. Unfortunately, before he died he was able to see only the manuscripts of Mawangdui, and was able to fully digest those manuscripts let alone the many unearthed manuscripts that China had seen in the past two decades. In this article, he made use the “Yellow Emperor’s Four Classics (黃帝四經)” to explore the authenticity and intellectual background of *He guan zi* (鶡冠子). Although his research inherits the views of some scholars in China, it is still very inspiring.

**Guodian**

In the few years since the Guodian manuscripts were unearthed (1993)² and published (1998),³ they have already been hailed as being startling significance for the study of early Chinese intellectual history. Guodian manuscripts proves ideal for a qualitative study of text and thought in Warring States China.

Described as China’s equivalent of the Dead Sea Scrolls, the Guodian Chu slips are significant in many ways (Allan & Williams, 2000). The Guodian corpus is to date the only excavated cache of manuscripts that are exclusively philosophical in content. They constitute one of the very few finds that can safely be dated to the Warring States period, a crucial time in the history of Chinese thought (Chan, 2019, p. 6).

As of 2015, at least three books have been featured and discussed on Guodian bamboo slips, they are:


---

² In October 1993, about 800 bamboo slips were discovered in a tomb located south of a mound in Guodian to the east of Mount Ju (紀山), where only nine kilometers north of the old capital of the state of Chu (楚). See Liu Zuxin (劉祖信) and Long Yongfang (龍永芳), *Guodian Chujian zonglan* (郭店楚簡綜覽), Taipei: Wanjuan Lou, 2005, p. 1.
³ The recovered cache of texts comprises a total of 804 bamboo slips, of which 730 are intact, and bear 12,072 Chu-script graphs, which it is assumed, were brush-written on the bamboo slips close to the time of burial. See Jingmenshi Bowuguan (荊門市博物館) ed., *Guodian Chumu chujian* (郭店楚墓竹簡), Beijing: Wenwu Chubanshe, 1998, p. 1.


It is necessary to make a simple explanation for these three books.

In 2002, Kenneth W. Holloway submitted his doctoral thesis entitled “The recently discovered Confucian classic ‘The Five Aspects of Conduct’” to the University of Pennsylvania, and published several articles about Guodian bamboo Slips after that. His 2009 book *Guodian: The Newly Discovered Seeds of Chinese Religious and Political Philosophy* is the first western monograph devoted to the Guodian bamboo slips, and thus attracted no little attention. In 2019, he published an article to discuss the Guodian manuscripts has a coherent religious philosophy (Holloway, 2019, pp. 355-369).

Dirk Meyer’s 2011 book also based on his doctoral dissertation. His consists of three parts. Part I provides a detailed form analysis of the argument-based texts from Guodian One. He hopes to show that approaching early texts from the perspective of their formal structure is methodologically important for dealing with the written ideas from the early periods. Part II discusses the intellectual environment of the written materials from the Guodian One corpus. The focus of the discussion is on the relationship between material conditions of text, manuscript culture, and writing, as well as on the strategies of meaning construction and philosophizing, in the Warring States period. Part III provides philological justification of my reconstruction of the texts considered in this study (Meyer, 2012, pp. 26-28).

Scott Cook’s 2012 book has twovolumes. This giant two-volume book is more than a thousand pages long. It can be said that Cook examined everything that was published in the fourteen years between the initial publication of *Guodian Chu jian* and the publication of Cook’s own book. The subtitle of this book well describes the content of the book. “Study” refers the first 185 pages of the Introduction in volume I and to explain the discovery of Guodian bamboo slips and the ideological background of the Warring States Period. “Complete Translation” includes not only a translation, but also with detailed annotation. His translation not only aggregates the research results of others, but also makes his own judgments and research on each sentence (Shaughnessy, 2019, p. 344).

“Tai Yi Sheng Shui” (太一生水) “The Ultimate One Gives Birth to Water” and “Wu Xing” (五行) “Five Aspects of Virtuous Conduct”

For western scholars, the most interesting is the idea about cosmogenesis in “Tai yi sheng shui”. The most influential one should be Allan’s research. In 2003, she published an article entitled “The Great One, Water, and the Laozi: New Light from Guodian” on the authoritative journal *T’oung Pao* (Allan, 2003), which provides a detailed study of the text, cosmology and mythology of “Tai yi sheng shui”. Like many scholars, she advocates that “Tai yi sheng shui” proposed a theory of cosmology. However, she linked this cosmology to the Laozi, saying that the shi (式) (shipan (式盤) in modern Chinese) in Laozi should be the shipan (式盤) of the device. It was the model of the universe. She even suggested that the original meaning of “Dao” (道) was not a “roadway” but a “waterway”, and it was the root metaphor of the philosophical concept. Philosophically, Dao was a natural course or way, grounded in the imagery of the stream of a river or of the water bubbling up unceasingly from a natural spring. One of the characteristics of water was that it flows in channels and always moves downward. From this idea of the Dao, modeled on the image of a stream with a spring as its source, its
channel acting as a conduit that guides people in their actions, the concept was extended to encompass a condition in which everything in the world follows its natural course.

The *Wuxing* text is one of the main Guodian texts that clearly emphasizes the divine-human connection, attempting to weave human behavior into the larger fabric of a cosmos that is at once spiritual and ethical. Scott Cook’s paper entitled “Consummate Artistry and Moral Virtuosity: The ‘Wu xing’ Essay and Its Aesthetic” (Cook, 2000, pp. 113-146) is quite remarkable. It analyzes the different between Mawangdui and Guodian “Wu xing” texts. He also points out that after the unearthing of the Guodian “Wu xing”, we did realize the relationship between *jin* (經, classic) and *zhuan* (傳, commentary). Cook has a musical background and was trained in music when he was in university. His master’s thesis *Yue Ji* (樂記), giving both a translation of the text and also a probing discussion of it. In 1995, his doctoral thesis was titled “Unity and Diversity in the Musical Thought of Warring States China”. Therefore, the text of the “Wu xing” of Mawangdui often uses music to symbolize self-cultivation to attract the attention of Cook.

**Methodology**

Western sinologists are particularly concerned with the methodology of unearthed philology and paleography, suggesting a series of methods and principles. They have a lot of examples using the unearthed *Laozi* to do this, which deserves our attention.

In the book of *The Guodian Laozi: Proceedings of the International Conference, Dartmouth College*, there are several articles that use the example of *Laozi* as a methodologic. Because these are studies of two decades ago, in order to save space, I only listed the title of related articles in preparation for reference.


I must point out that Western sinologists often use *Laozi* as an example to discuss methodological issues. In the article entitled “Reading the Early *Laotzyy*”, William Boltz made a very interesting reflection and raised many questions:

The natural inclination for some scholars and students was to be skeptical of both extremes and to look instead for textually sound interpretations of whatever implication. The catch here was that knowing how to judge competing explanations of the textual differences and how to assess the respective competing claims about the meaning of the text was unfamiliar territory for most of us. Identifying and classifying what kinds of differences there might be, what their impact was, both individually and in the aggregate, deciding which of these might be significant, and even knowing how to define “significance”, were experiments in textual criticism that, for many of us, were completely new. Not only were we largely untrained in the theory and methods of textual criticism, the field of textual criticism itself had in most respects not been explicitly developed or elucidated in any systematic or comprehensive way in western scholarship on early Chinese texts. There was, in short, very little recognized scholarly method for the study of early Chinese manuscripts in comparison with what had been established in the course of more than a century of philological and textual research in the world of classical Mediterranean or ancient Near Eastern texts.

As study progressed, the question of how to assess the significance of these manuscripts received increasing attention. Did the value of the newly discovered manuscripts lie in their capacity to correct the received text, providing alternative

---


5 He wrote his Master’s thesis in 1990 on the *Yue Ji*, the earliest fully-developed extant treatise on music, which was written no later than the middle of the Western Han and is thought to contain a large amount of Warring States period material dealing with music. See Marco Caboara, “Book Review: The Bamboo Texts of Guodian: A Study & Complete Translation,” *Journal of Chinese Studies*, 59 (July 2014), pp. 253-254.
readings that in the aggregate gave different interpretations to the text, or did these manuscripts with their apparent
differences serve instead to validate a traditional understanding based on the received text? Or, possibly, is there a kind of
“middle road” that recognizes the difference between these two extremes, allowing the traditional understanding of the
received text to stand and yet establishing alternative readings of the seemingly same text, based on the manuscript
evidence? Do variants reflect competing “schools” or doctrinal preferences or are they simply the consequences of careless
and poorly informed textual transmission? Or some of both? Is it the job of the editor to use the manuscripts only to correct
details of the received text, or conversely to show from the evidence of the received text the errors of the manuscript and
thus to explain away as many of the variants as possible? Or should the primary goal be to try to establish through
comparison of the manuscripts with the received text a version of the original as close as possible to what the author first
wrote? In what sense can we, in fact, even talk about an “original” text and an “author”? To adopt these terms uncritically
from other textual traditions might actually blind us to some of the most interesting implications that could arise from
studying these manuscripts. (Boltz, 2005, pp. 213-214)

Although these questions do not have an exact answer, they are still worth asking.

In addition, Matthias Richter should be the scholar who attaches the most importance to the material
properties of the manuscripts. In a series of articles listed below, he made a very detailed examination of the
both transmitted and the unearthed manuscripts.

- “Handschriftenkundliche Probleme beim Lesen altchinesischer Manuskripte.” Aspekte des Lesens in
China in Vergangenheit und Gegenwart: Referate der Jahrestagung 2001 der Deutschen Vereinigung für
- “Towards a Profile of Graphic Variation: On the Distribution of Graphic Variants within the Mawangdui
- “Tentative Criteria for Discerning Individual Hands in the Guodian Manuscripts.” Rethinking
Confucianism: Selected Papers from the Third International Conference on Excavated Chinese Manuscripts,
- “Faithful Transmission or Creative Change: Tracing Modes of Manuscript Production from the Material
- “Textual Identity and the Role of Literacy in the Transmission of Early Chinese Literature.” Writing and
Literacy in Early China: Studies from the Columbia Early China Seminar. Eds. Li Feng and David Prager

In the two articles that were published in 2005, Richter examined the textual variants contained in the two
of Mawangdui’s Laozi, and thought that we can know from the variations how the manuscripts are caused. He
points out that in the Laozi B manuscript, the character zhu (主) serves as a very first example. Two types of
what is structurally the same character are used in the Mawangdui manuscripts to write the character zhu: one
has a dot on top and the other a horizontal stoke. Usually shorter than the three horizontals below. B
Mawangdui has both forms. In the beginning, the first stroke is written as a dot just like in most other
Mawangdui manuscripts; later the other form with a line on top gains dominance and is finally written
exclusively. But even then the character continues to change—at the beginning of the manuscript the horizontal
line on top is markedly shorter than the lower three horizontals, but further down in the manuscript it gets
longer and finally reaches almost the same length (Richter, 2005).

A Concluding Speculation

Undoubtedly, I can’t fully introduce the western Sinologist’s study of the excavated Laozi in an article. I
received my academic training in China study in Hong Kong and the United States. I value traditional Chinese materials and am not unfamiliar with western academic achievements. Looking back the beginning of the article which monolingualism issue mentioned by Martin Kern, I still want to give an example to summarize the article. Ge Zhaoguang (葛兆光) says in an article that he “is very eager to see the first one to do research on China study is a Chinese people, but I still regret to say that Taoist studies started from foreign countries. 很希望治中國學問的最早是中國人，但這裡我還是很遺憾地說，現代學術意義上的道教研究，是從外國開始的.” (Ge, 2013, p. 75). I would like to ask: Is there any regrettable matter about foreign scholars doing research on China study? Ignoring the achievements of foreign scholars is a rather naïve academic attitude. If foreign scholars contribute to China study, this should not be a regrettable matter, but should be welcomed and widely publicized by Chinese scholars, because it shows that Chinese culture is a culture that the world values and cares.

Finally, allow me to end this article with two sentences from Guodian “Wu xing”,

仁之思也清，精則察，察則安，安則溫，溫則悅，悅則戚，戚則親，親則愛，愛則玉色，玉色則形，形則仁

智之思也長，長則得，得則不忘，不忘則明，明則見賢人，見賢人則玉色，玉色則形，形則智

A benevolence man and a wise man reach the same goal by different means although their interests are different. We hope that Chinese and foreign scholars can join hands and cooperate with each other.
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