A Study on Modelling Surface Finish in Electrical Discharge Machining Tablet Shape Punches Using Response Surface Methodology
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Abstract: This paper introduces a study on modelling surface finish in EDM (Electrical Discharge Machining) of tablet shape punches when using copper as electrode material. In this study, 27 experiments were performed based on BBD (Box-Behnken Design) and the work-piece material was 9CrSi steel. The input process parameters were the current, the pulse on time, the pulse off time and the voltage. The effects of the input parameters on the surface finish were evaluated by analysing variance. Besides, from the results of the experiments, a regression equation for determining the surface roughness is introduced. Also, the optimum input parameter values were found in order to get the minimum surface roughness.
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1. Introduction

EDM (Electrical Discharge Machining) is the process for removing electrically conductive materials by using precisely controlled sparks that occur between an electrode and a work-piece in a dielectric fluid. It is one of the most effective non-traditional machining processes for working with difficult-to-machine materials as well as for producing blank cavities in products. Therefore, many researches have been done for optimizing the EDM process in order to find the optimum input parameters.


It can be learned from previous studies that there were many researches on EDM processes. However, most of the previous studies were done with work-pieces in the types of blank cavities or shaped holes. This paper introduces a study on the modelling...
surface finish in EDM tablet shape punches using RSM (Response Surface Methodology). In the study, the effects of input parameters were investigated by analysing variance when EDM is with graphite electrode on the surface finish of 9CrSi steel. Moreover, a regression equation for calculation of the surface roughness is proposed. Besides, the optimum values of EDM parameters for minimum surface roughness were found.

2. Experimental Work

The experiments were designed based on the BBD (Box-Behnken Design) of RSM. In the experiments, four input parameters were selected and three levels of factors were considered. The experimental set-up is as:

• Machine: sinker EDM model CNC-AG40L from Sodick Europe Ltd. (UK);
• Work-piece material: 9CrSi steel;
• Electrode materials: graphite;
• Dielectric fluid: EDM oil HD-1;
• Input parameters: gap voltage (U); pulse on time (Ton); pulse off time (Toff); pulse current (I). (The levels of the input parameters were shown in Table 1);
• Number of experiments: 27.

After processing, the surface roughness was measured by a strain gage transducer contact SJ-301 (Mitutoyo, Japan). The various levels of input parameters and the results of the output response (the surface roughness Ra) are described in Table 2.

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 presented the results of regression analysis for the surface roughness. From the results, it was found that the highest composition of the regression model was statistically significant (p value was 0.051). Also, as the p value of the lack-of-fit (0.871) in RSM is much larger than the normal value 0.05, the second order is suitable and the model fits quite well.

Eq. (1) was found for determination of the surface roughness:

\[
R_a = 4.34 + 0.105 \cdot T_{on} - 0.085 \cdot T_{off} - 0.1025 \cdot U - 0.50583 \cdot I + 0.53 \cdot T_{on} \cdot I - 0.1175 \cdot T_{off} \cdot U + 0.2875 \cdot T_{off} \cdot I + 0.38 \cdot U \cdot I - 0.09042 \cdot U^2 + 0.19542 \cdot I^2
\]

The relation between the surface roughness with the pulse current and the gap voltage is shown in Fig. 2. Also, the contour plot of the surface roughness and the pulse current and the gap voltage is presented in Fig. 3. From the contour plot, it was found that the minimum value of the surface roughness was \( R_a = 2.52935 \) when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulse on time</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse off time</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap voltage</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse current</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Input parameters and their levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>( T_{on} ) (µ/s)</th>
<th>( T_{off} ) (µ/s)</th>
<th>U (V)</th>
<th>I (A)</th>
<th>Ra (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusion

A study on modelling surface finish in EDM of tablet shape punches was presented. The effects of the input EDM process parameters on the surface roughness were investigated by experiments designed by BBD. From the results of the study, a regression equation for calculating the surface roughness was proposed. Besides, the optimum values of input parameters for getting the minimum surface roughness were given.
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