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Abstract: Indoor radon levels were measured in 221 homes in rented accommodation. In addition, buildings were registered for a series of variables describing building characteristics and used materials. The mean year value of the indoor radon level was 30.7 (1–250) Bq/m³. The indoor radon level exceeded 100 Bq/m³ in 5.9% of the homes. Of the investigated variables, only homes in single-family terraced houses, were statistically significant. Approx. 75% of homes exceeding 100 Bq/m³ indoor radon level had levels between 100 and 200 Bq/m³ and 25% had indoor radon levels exceeding 200 Bq/m³. Significant differences in indoor radon levels were found in homes located in multi-occupant houses. Additionally, the risk of indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ in homes in multi-occupant houses was found to be very low, but the risk was the highest on the ground floor in a building constructed with slab on ground.
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1. Introduction

Radon-222 develops from the radioactive decay of radium-226 and has a half-life of 3.8 days. This gas seeps through soil into buildings, and if it is not evacuated, there can be much higher exposure levels indoors than outdoors [1], which is where human exposure occurs [2]. In this way, radon affects occupants through the indoor climate.

The World Health Organization recommends states to introduce requirements for the maximum concentration of radiation from natural sources in the indoor air. It is a new approach to recommend states to introduce such requirements for the indoor air.

These new recommendations are the result of the World Health Organization’s evaluation of radon as being responsible for 3%-14% of lung cancer incidents, depending on the average radon exposure in different countries [3]. Results show radon to be the second-largest cause of lung cancer (tobacco smoking is still the primary cause). Radon exposure must be taken seriously in the struggle against radon-induced lung cancer due to the large number of people who are exposed daily in buildings and especially in residential buildings [3]. If people spend their whole life in a house with an average radon concentration in the indoor air that exceeds 200 Bq/m³, their risk of getting lung cancer is higher than 1%. This is far too high and higher than what in other contexts is acceptable for a single-factor risk [4]. Therefore, it is crucial to ensure a low radon level in the indoor air and to prevent radon from infiltrating into buildings.

As exposure to radon and its radioactive decay products such as the alpha emitters polonium-218 and polonium-214 [5] has been classified as a human carcinogen [6], it is recommended that indoor radon levels in homes should be below 100 Bq/m³. Since 2010, Danish homes must be constructed so as to ensure indoor radon levels below 100 Bq/m³ [7].

The excessive risk of lung cancer associated with occupational exposure to radon was established decades ago [6], and three more recent studies collectively provide evidence linking residential radon and the risk of lung cancer [8-10]. Similar results are reported in a new Danish study [11].
In a national survey of radon in over 3,000 Danish dwellings [12], the population-weighted average annual radon concentration was 59 Bq/m³, which is more than twice the mean concentrations measured in the Netherlands and the UK, somewhat higher than the mean concentrations measured in Canada and the USA and about half the mean concentrations in Finland and Sweden [13]. It is further estimated that around 25% (or 350,000) of all Danish single-family houses have radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ [12]. In Denmark, remedial measures are solely the responsibility of home owners [7].

Levels of indoor radon can be controlled by combining three initiatives: establishing a shield that prevents radon from penetrating the building from the ground; lowering the air-pressure underneath the slab facing the ground, to reduce the air-pressure differences over the slab facing the ground or to establish the lowest air-pressure under the slab; and diluting the indoor air with outdoor air. In this study, radon levels in rented accommodation were measured in the winter of 2013–2014 and again in the winter of 2014–2015. The paper shows how well 221 homes for rented accommodation perform, with respect to the Danish Building Regulations for homes constructed after 2010 and with respect to the recommendations for older homes, with regard to radon and to identifying the association between indoor radon in these homes and a number of variables including floor level, multi-occupant houses, single-family terraced houses, and other specific building characteristics. The number of homes with radon levels exceeding 100 and 200 Bq/m³ was determined.

2. Measurements

Measurements were carried out in 221 homes for rented accommodation and in 9 basements. Families and building owners were invited to participate in a radon monitoring programme. The programme took place in the heating periods of 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 between November and May. 196 homes were located in 28 multi-occupant houses and 25 homes were located in single-family terraced houses. Homes were selected from the following municipalities: Frederiksberg, Assens, Greve, København, Faaborg-Midtfyn, Slagelse, Fureso, Guldborgsund, Gladsaxe, Randers, Odense, Næstved, Ringsted, Roskilde, Nyborg, Aarhus, and Solrød, see Fig. 1, representing geographical regions in Zealand, Lolland-Falster, Funen, and Jutland in Denmark. 221 families agreed to participate, and radon levels were monitored in their homes. The selected municipalities are located in regions where other studies have shown a 1%-30% chance of finding detached single-family houses with radon levels exceeding 200 Bq/m³ [12]. Three detectors (Gammadata Matteknik AB, Uppsala, Sweden) were distributed to each participant by mail in sealed aluminum-coated envelopes and returned after the integration period in a pre-stamped envelope. Each participant was asked to fill in a questionnaire regarding the date when exposure started and ended, as well as type of room in which the detector was placed. Participants were instructed regarding placement of the detectors (> 25 cm from a wall and away from strong draught and heat) and also instructed to clean and ventilate their homes as they usually would, so that representative levels were obtained. Information regarding year of construction, basement, crawl space, and building and roof materials was gathered from the Danish Building and Housing Register [14]. Information gathered from the Danish Building and Housing Register was used to make sure that homes represented typical rented accommodation in Denmark. In accordance with Danish recommendations for radon measurements in private homes, the simplest assessment of radon concentrations is based on direct integrated measurements [15, 16], thus no indirect measurements (geological samples, soil gas measurements, external gamma radiation, etc.) were performed in this study. In addition, homes were registered for a series of variables. Registrations were carried out on site.
2.1 Variables

Homes were registered for a series of variables describing the characteristics of the building where the accommodation was located.

Variables include:

• Suspended floors made of concrete, timber floor constructions, partly concrete and partly timber floor constructions or constructed of other materials, e.g., masonry and steel, as well as an evaluation of its air tightness and the risk of infiltration from other homes or from the basement through the floor construction;

• Bathroom location in the home. Does the bathroom have an exterior wall;
• Design of the main entrance to the home. Is the home entered via a staircase and does the entrance have air infiltration from the lowest level, e.g., the basement;
• The presence of an elevator to bring people to the floor where their home is located;
• Does the building provide chutes, e.g., garbage, and service shaft, e.g., ventilation and waterpiping;
• Is ventilation provided in the form of natural ventilation or forced mechanical ventilation, and provided a heat recovery unit;
• How are the outer walls constructed and have the outer walls been renovated or retrofitted including whether the floor and wall constructions in the basement have been upgraded or changed in its time of use, e.g., added fire protection or floor drain;
• Are the window frames of plastic or wood?

3. Dwellings

Homes were either rented accommodation located in buildings privately owned by landlords or social housing owned by the Danish association of non-profit rented accommodation. Buildings were multi-occupant houses and single-family terraced houses. The buildings represented the building technique and commonly used building materials used in Denmark from 1850 until today.

Buildings were grouped into three types, Type A, Type B and Type C. Type C included both multi-occupant houses and single-family terraced houses:

• Type A: multi-occupant house built between 1850 and 1920. Buildings were constructed with a solid brick wall founded on masonry foundations. Sometimes single natural stones might be included in the foundations and outer walls. Suspended floors were timber floor constructions. Suspended floors were horizontal partitions and included timber beams. They were traditionally constructed from the top with floor boards, clay infill, wooden boards, empty space, wooden boards and a layer of plaster on straw. The timber beams were usually of good quality with the dimensions 200 mm by 200 mm with a tolerance from top to bottom of 6.25 mm, see Fig. 2. Solid floor against the ground were of concrete, asphalt or soil;
• Type B: multi-occupant house built between 1920 and 1960. The buildings were constructed with solid brick walls or cavity walls founded on cast-on-site concrete foundations. Suspended floors were timber floor constructions, see Fig. 2, or reinforced concrete suspended floors cast on site. Solid floors against the ground were of concrete;
• Type C: multi-occupant house or single-family terraced house built in the period from 1960. The buildings were constructed with load-bearing concrete constructions as prefabricated elements above the ground. Foundations and load-bearing basement walls were made of concrete cast on site. Suspended floors were made of reinforced concrete usually as prefabricated concrete elements. Solid floors against the ground were of concrete cast on site.

4. Equipment

The detectors were closed passive etched track detectors, made from CR39 plastic film placed inside an antistatic holder, see Fig. 3 (Gammadata Matteknik AB, Uppsala, Sweden). During the integration period, alpha and its decay products cause damage to the film. The etching took place in 20% NaOH at 90 °C for 165 min and the tracks were counted automatically using an image scanner at Gammadata Matteknik. Calibration exposure (normal exposure range) was 700 (60–100) kBq·h/m³, and the detector performance had a typical background of 15 kBq·h/m³. Gammadata Matteknik is ISO 17025 and ISO 14001 certified as well as EMAS (European Eco-Management and Audit Scheme) registered. Measurement methods are accredited according to standards of SWEDAC (Swedish Board of Accreditation and Conformity Assessment) and accepted in 18 European countries by the EAL (European Cooperation for Accreditation of Laboratories).
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Fig. 2  Suspended floors include from top floor boards, clay infill, wooden boards, empty space, wooden boards and a layer of plaster on straw.

Fig. 3  The detectors are closed passive etched track detectors, made from CR39 plastic film placed inside an antistatic holder. Alpha and its decay products cause damage to the film.

Gammadata Matteknik regularly participates in international intercomparison tests such as those arranged by the HPA (Health Protection Agency) in the UK and Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz in Germany.

5. Results

Radon was measured for a median duration of 90 days (min–max: 60–194 days). A single representative indoor radon concentration for each home was calculated as the arithmetic average of the three measurements and used in all statistical analyses.

Table 1 shows the distribution of the determined mean year values of the radon concentration grouped according to floor level in intervals of 50 Bq/m³. The
minimum value was 1 Bq/m³, and the maximum value was 250 Bq/m³. The standard variation was 38.3 Bq/m³, the median value was 18 Bq/m³ and the mean value was 30.7 Bq/m³. The ratio of homes with a mean year value of the radon concentration ranging between 100 Bq/m³ and 200 Bq/m³ was 4.5%. The ratio of homes with a mean year value of the radon concentration exceeding 200 Bq/m³ was 1.4%. The ratio of homes with a mean year value of the radon concentration exceeding 100 Bq/m³ was 5.9%.

Tables 2 and 3 show the distribution of the determined mean year value of the radon concentration in homes grouped by floor level and in intervals of 50 Bq/m³. Table 2 shows the distribution of the determined mean year value in homes in a building with a basement or a crawlspace as the lowest level facing the ground. Table 3 shows the distribution of the determined mean year value in homes in a building with a slab on ground in the lowest accommodation. Table 4 shows the distribution of the determined mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>0-50</th>
<th>51-100</th>
<th>101-150</th>
<th>151-200</th>
<th>&gt; 200</th>
<th>Number of homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground floor</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of homes</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio in %</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>0-50</th>
<th>51-100</th>
<th>101-150</th>
<th>151-200</th>
<th>&gt; 200</th>
<th>Number of homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground floor</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of homes</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio in %</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>0-50</th>
<th>51-100</th>
<th>101-150</th>
<th>151-200</th>
<th>&gt; 200</th>
<th>Number of homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground floor</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of homes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio in %</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Number of homes grouped by the determined mean year value of the radon concentration in intervals of 50 Bq/m³. Homes were located on the ground floor in multi-occupant houses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>0-50</th>
<th>51-100</th>
<th>101-150</th>
<th>151-200</th>
<th>&gt;200</th>
<th>Number of homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home over basement/crawlspace</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio in %</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home with floor on ground</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio in %</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Mean year value of the radon concentration in Bq/m³, together with the standard variation in Bq/m³, the variation coefficient in percent and the number of homes located in a building with the specific characteristic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean year value (Bq/m³)</th>
<th>Std. var. (Bq/m³)</th>
<th>Var. (%)</th>
<th>Number of homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspended floors of concrete</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended floors partly of concrete and partly of timber floor constructions</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended floors of steel and brick materials</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended floors of timber floor constructions</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom with no outer walls</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom with outer wall</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staircase connecting basement with home</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staircase without entrance to basement</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other entrance to home than staircase</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building without elevator</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building with elevator</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building without garbage chute</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building with garbage chute</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air change by grate mounted exterior wall</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air change without grate mounted exterior wall</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building without heat recovery</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building with heat recovery</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original floor construction in basement</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovated floor construction in basement</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor drain in basement floor</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement floor without floor drain</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible gaps in suspended floors</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected airtight suspended floors</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipes drawn outside service shaft</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipes drawn inside service shaft</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior basement walls of masonry</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior basement walls of concrete</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior basement walls of leca materials</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement without fire protection</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire protected basement</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity walls with thermal insulation</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity walls without thermal insulation</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrofitted exterior walls</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original exterior walls (retrofitting)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows with frames of plastic</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows with frames of wood</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
year value of the radon concentration in homes grouped in intervals of 50 Bq/m³ for homes located on the ground floor in multi-occupant houses.

Table 5 shows the investigated variables that homes were registered for describing the characteristics of the building where the accommodation was located.

For homes in a building with a basement or a crawlspace on the lowest level facing the ground, the minimum value was 1 Bq/m³, the maximum value was 206 Bq/m³, the standard variation was 32.3 Bq/m³, the median value was 17 Bq/m³ and the mean value was 26.2 Bq/m³.

For homes in a building with a slab on ground in the accommodation on the lowest level facing the ground, the minimum value was 10 Bq/m³, the maximum value was 250 Bq/m³, the standard variation was 53 Bq/m³, the median value was 33 Bq/m³ and the mean value was 50 Bq/m³.

Fig. 4 shows the mean year value of the radon concentration in homes measured in the winter of 2013–2014 and in the winter of 2014–2015. Results are shown for homes where the first measurements showed results exceeding the recommended radon level for homes. Homes were located in single-family terraced houses described as Type C accommodation. The mean year value of the radon concentration was determined with an accuracy of 20 Bq/m³ to 40 Bq/m³.

Fig. 5 shows the mean year value of the radon concentration for homes in a building with a basement that had not been fire protected. The mean year value of the radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 30.2 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 37.4 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 124%. Homes located in a building without a fire protected basement numbered 120.

Fig. 6 shows the mean year value of the radon concentration for homes in a building with a basement that had been fire protected. The mean year value of the radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 17.4 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 8.6 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 50%. Homes located in a building with a fire protected basement numbered 62.

Other variables describing buildings with accommodation with a low radon level were:
Fig. 5  Mean year value of the radon concentration for homes in a building with a basement that has not been fire protected. Homes are located on the ground floor, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th floors.

Fig. 6  Mean year value of the radon concentration for homes in a building with a basement that has been fire protected. Homes are located on the ground floor, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th floors.

- a building with an upgraded basement floor. The mean year radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 16 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 7.4 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 46%. Homes located in a building with an upgraded basement floor numbered 8;

- a building with homes with gaps around pipes going through the horizontal partition that was sealed and therefore the suspended floors were expected to be sufficiently airtight. The mean year radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 22.1 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 30.9
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Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 140%. Homes located in a building with gaps that were sealed around pipes going through a horizontal partition numbered 45;

- a building with homes with suspended floors of concrete. The mean year radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 15.7 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 8.8 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 56%. Homes located in a building with suspended floors of concrete numbered 63;
- a building with homes with suspended floors partly of concrete and partly of timber floor constructions. The mean year radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 29.6 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 8.5 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 29%. Homes located in a building with suspended floors partly of concrete and partly of timber floor constructions numbered 8;
- a building with an elevator. The mean year radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 11.3 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 6.4 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 56%. Homes located in a building with an elevator numbered 29;
- a building with homes with a forced mechanical ventilation system connected to a heat recovery unit. The mean year radon concentration of the indoor air of the homes was 7.5 Bq/m³ determined with a standard variation of 2.6 Bq/m³ and a variation coefficient of 34%. Homes located in a building with a forced mechanical ventilation system connected to a heat recovery unit numbered 8.

6. Discussion

This study found a mean year value of the indoor radon level of 30.7 Bq/m³ ranging between 1 and 250 Bq/m³. In total, 5.9% (13 of the 221) homes had indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³, all located in single-family terraced houses. The investigated variables explained 5.9% of the variation in indoor radon levels, and although associations were positive, none of these were statistically significant besides homes in single-family terraced houses. However, the fact that the basement floor had been upgraded, that the basement was fire protected, that gaps around pipes going through suspended floors were sealed, that suspended floors were of concrete, that suspended floors were partly of concrete and partly of timber floor constructions, the presence of an elevator, and forced mechanical ventilation connected to a heat recovery unit was observed to be variables of interest determining low radon levels in homes. Unfortunately, the number of homes located in a building with these specific characteristics was limited and therefore not concluded to characterise homes with a low radon concentration in rented accommodation.

The mean year value of the radon level of 30.7 Bq/m³ is somewhat lower than the population-weighted average annual radon concentration of 59 Bq/m³ for all Danish homes [12, 17]. The population-weighted average annual radon concentration of 59 Bq/m³ was based on 1-year measurements in 3,012 single-family homes and 101 multifamily (apartment buildings) in Denmark. Measurements form the basis of a radon map covering Denmark [12, 17].

The present study found that the indoor radon level exceeding 100 and 200 Bq/m³ in 10 (4.5%) and 3 (1.4%) homes, respectively. Approx. 75% of homes with radon indoor levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ had levels between 100 and 200 Bq/m³ and 25% had indoor radon levels exceeding 200 Bq/m³. Significant differences in indoor radon levels were found in homes located in multi-occupant houses. The risk of indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ in homes in multi-occupant houses is very low, but if there is a risk, it is most likely to be found in the lowest accommodation in a building with a slab on ground. A risk of indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ was found in homes in single-family terraced houses.

The high levels in homes in single-family terraced houses may be explained by the possible deterioration of their radon protection due to the development of
micro-cracks in individual materials, cracks in joints between building components, fissures associated with the aging of the homes, alterations in air change rates, or some form of construction alterations made by home owners, which may penetrate the radon barrier.

The municipalities selected in the present study were previously characterised as having the highest levels of residential radon concentration indoors in Denmark (1%–30% of homes with levels over 200 Bq/m³) [12]. Measurements showed that the soil type was the main determinant of indoor radon levels [12, 17]. The present study did not include measuring radon levels in soil. The homes in this study were located on clayey/sandy to clayey soil, with 2%–18% sand and gravel content [17, 18], and although radon variation in these soils can be expected, this is not described at each specific home location.

The mean year value of the radon concentration in homes was measured in the winter of 2013–2014 and again in the winter of 2014–2015. Measurements were carried out in homes where the first measurements showed results exceeding the advised radon level for buildings of 100 Bq/m³. Homes were all located in single-family terraced houses. Results from the first measuring period correspond with the results from the second measuring period. However, results show that the radon concentration indoors is affected by seasonal variations and the use of the home.

The present study has several limitations including power constraints, which may affect the ability to detect associations. Furthermore, information on many other variables such as the specific radon protection measure used, interior walls and ceiling materials, radon ground concentrations, radon diffusion resistance, air permeability of the soil, air pressure differences, and air change rates in all homes were not acquired in the data acquisition process. These variables are all important in relation to the variation in radon levels indoors. More work is justified, and a more comprehensive study utilising an extended model including these variables should be considered in relation to the variation in indoor radon concentration in Danish homes.

7. Conclusion

This study found a mean year value of the indoor radon level of 30.7 Bq/m³ ranging between 1 and 250 Bq/m³ in homes in rented accommodation. In total, 5.9% (13 of the 221) homes had indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³, all located in single-family terraced houses. Approx. 75% of homes exceeding 100 Bq/m³ indoor radon level had levels between 100 and 200 Bq/m³ and 25% had indoor radon levels exceeding 200 Bq/m³. Significant differences in indoor radon levels were found in homes located in multi-occupant houses. The risk of indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ in homes in multi-occupant houses is very low, but if there is a risk, it is most likely to be found in the lowest accommodation in a building with a slab on ground. A risk of indoor radon levels exceeding 100 Bq/m³ was found in homes in single-family terraced houses. None of the other investigated variables explained the variation in indoor radon levels in homes. However, the fact that the basement floor had been upgraded, that the basement was fire protected, that gaps around pipes going through suspended floors were sealed, that suspended floors were of concrete, that suspended floors were partly of concrete and partly of timber floor constructions, the presence of an elevator, and forced mechanical ventilation connected to a heat recovery unit were not significant variables characterising homes with a low radon concentration in rented accommodation, but seen to be variables that need to be further studied.
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