The Reliability of the Prowler in High School Male Football Players
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Abstract: Horizontal plane muscular power output is important in sports such as football especially for down lineman who must engage an opponent and push them for a number of yards. The Prowler resistance sled is a commonly used apparatus that aids in the development of horizontal plane muscular power output. However, there is limited documentation regarding the Prowler's role as a strength and conditioning training modality as well as its potential use as an assessment tool for measuring horizontal plane muscular power output. Hence, the purpose of this study was to assess the reliability of the Prowler sled push in High School male football players. High School male football players ($n = 16$) performed two trials of the Prowler sled push 91 kilograms (200 pounds) for a distance of 9.1 meters (10 yards). Each trial was timed with a handheld stop watch with a 2-3 minute rest period between trials. The trial 1 and 2 scores were 5.14 ± 0.95 and 5.15 ± 0.88 seconds respectively. The interclass and intraclass reliability coefficients were $r = 0.93$ and $ICC = 0.93$. The standard error of the measure was $SE_m = 0.25$ seconds with 90% confidence limits of $UL: 0.36$, $LL: 0.20$. The mean difference between trials was $0.01 ± 0.36$ seconds (90% confidence limits of $UL: 0.17$, $LL: -0.14$). Within the parameters of this study, the Prowler sled push is a highly reliable field test for measuring horizontal plane muscular power output.
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1. Introduction

The ability to improve speed and acceleration is an important athletic quality, which is crucial in a number of team (rugby union, football, soccer, hockey) and individual sports (sprinting, long jump, skating). The incorporation of sled training as part of strength and power training regimes is now common practice with various sports integrating its use for improvements in speed which is well documented in the literature [1, 2]. Although initial research has focused upon the benefits of sled training on improving speed [1], subsequent studies have investigated the optimal load [3-5], biomechanical analyses of sprinting kinematics (technique, stride length, contact time) [6, 7] and establishing coefficients of friction to determine the amount of work performed during the activity [8]. These studies have primarily focused upon sled pulling, whereas investigations into sled pushing are quite limited and have focused upon either bob-skeleton athletes [9], strongman athletes [10] or EMG muscle activation [11].

Many sports require a participant to engage an opponent (or sport implement) and push forward in the horizontal plane. This includes football down linemen, wrestlers, mixed martial arts, rugby athletes, bobsleders, as well as certain Crossfit and strongman events. Sled training, where the athlete pushes a loaded sled in the horizontal plane, closely mimics the horizontal plane power requirements of the aforementioned sports by removing momentum and forcing the athlete to overcome inertia. Sled pushing in the horizontal plane, should be beneficial with respect to transferring muscular strength and power that was developed during traditional strength and conditioning.
protocols which include squats (back and front) and Olympic lift variations that occur primarily in the vertical plane with simultaneous bilateral leg engagement. Further, sled pushing aids in sport specific motor skill development within a horizontal plane due to total body engagement of the hands, arms, shoulder girdle, trunk, and lower extremity musculature during the push in a closed chain unilateral leg propulsion manner. One important differentiation of sled training from traditional weight training is that it has a very minimal eccentric component [12] which is highly beneficial as most muscular damage incurred from training is associated with the eccentric component [13].

Another important difference between sled towing and pushing has to do with forward trunk lean. Specifically, the issue that arises with sled pulling is that any forward trunk lean by the athlete causes forward movement of the sled, allowing momentum to aid in the pull. Conversely, a sled push requires overcoming inertia with each step, and thus will give a more accurate measure of horizontal plane muscular power output. Essentially, there is no way to “cheat” the exercise and makes the sled push a potential assessment tool to measure horizontal plane power output.

The Prowler is a commercially available resistance sled (Fig. 1) that has two sets of handles that enables the athlete to push the sled horizontally from either a low or high position. Fig. 1 demonstrates an athlete pushing the sled from the high handle position.

Given the training utility, specificity, and ease of use, the question arises as to if the Prowler might also serve as a reliable method of assessing horizontal plant power output in athletes. Hence, the purpose of this study was to determine the reliability of the Prowler sled push in a population of High School male football players.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

A convenience sample of High School male football players were recruited and later volunteered for the study. Permission to conduct the study was obtained through a University Institutional Review Board. Each participant and parent provided written consent prior to engaging in the study.

2.2 Procedures

There was one testing session that included a warmup period consisting of the dynamic movements performed for a distance of 9.1 meters (10 yards). The participants performed one warm up movement one way & switched to another movement on the way back. The movements were: high knees, butt kickers, frog jumps, cherry pickers, lateral slide, karaoke, back pedal, and finished up with a sprint (~ 10 minutes).

Following one practice trial, each participant performed two maximal effort trials of the Prowler sled push (Fig. 1). The Prowler was loaded with Olympic weights such that the total combined weight was 91 kilograms (200 pounds Prowler plus weights). The loaded Prowler was vertical handle pushed [12] by the participants for a total of 9.1 meters in a direct linear path. The trials were recorded with a hand held stop watch (100th second) and separated by a 2-3 minutes rest period. The same researcher timed all of the trials. The trials were conducted on the teams grass turfed practice field (dry & recently cut) and the participants...
wore their cleated footwear. The testing session was conducted in late November immediately following the football offseason. All of the participants had previous experience performing the Prowler sled push.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

A combination of statistical approaches were used to determine the test-retest reliability of the Prowler sled push (trial 1 vs. trial 2). Interclass (r) and intraclass reliability coefficients (ICC) were calculated. The standard error of the measure (SE_m) was also calculated. Scatter and Bland-Altman plots were constructed in order to explore uniformity of error. Trial data was Log-transformed in order to assess typical error as expressed as a coefficient of variation percent (CV%). Further, 90% confidence limits were calculated for the aforementioned reliability statistics. Statistics were calculated in Excel with a spreadsheet prepared by Hopkins [14]. This particular reliability analysis is identical to that reported in White et al. [15].

3. Results

Sixteen High School male football players participated in the study. The average age, height, and body mass of the participants is presented in Table 1. All of the participants completed both test trials of the Prowler sled push without incident.

The reliability analysis is based one session of test re-test scores (n = 16). The mean of trial 1 and trial 2 scores from both sessions were 5.14 ± 0.95 and 5.15 ± 0.88 seconds respectively, with a mean difference between trials of 0.01 ± 0.36 seconds. Fig. 2 is a scatter plot of trial 1 and 2 Prowler sled push scores while Fig. 3 is a Bland-Altman plot comparing the trial average scores versus the difference scores. The scatter plot suggests a linear relationship between the trial scores. The Bland-Altman plot demonstrates that only 1 of 16 data pairs exceeded the 95% limits of agreement [16]. Neither the scatter plot nor the Bland-Altman plot suggested bias or heteroscedasticity (non-uniform error). Of the 16 pairs of Prowler sled push scores, 7 were slower during trial 2, and 9 were faster during trial 2.

Table 2 provides the reliability statistics with 90% confidence limits (U_L, L_L). The intraclass reliability coefficient was ICC = 0.93 (0.97, 0.82), which is considered “above average acceptable” [17]. The interclass reliability coefficient was r = 0.93 (0.97, 0.82), which is considered very high [18]. The standard error of the measure was SE_m = 0.25 (0.36, 0.20) seconds. While the trial data did not exhibit non-uniform

### Table 1 Participant descriptive information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>177.2 ± 6.7</td>
<td>83.1 ± 18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High School Male Football players, N = 16 (mean ± sd).

![Test-Retest Prowler Sled Push](image1)

**Fig. 2** Scatter plot of Trial 1 and Trial 2 Prowler scores.

![Bland-Altman Agreement Plot](image2)

**Fig. 3** Bland-Altman plot of Prowler Trial scores.
Table 2  Prowler reliability statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>∆ Means (sec)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.17 -0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Error (CV%)*</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.8 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEₘᵣ</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.36 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90% Confidence limits for selected reliability statistics.

*Typical error expressed as a CV% based on Log-transformed data. SEₘᵣ—standard error of the measure. r—Pearson correlation coefficient. ICC—Intraclass correlation coefficient.

e error, we still wanted to quantify typical error, hence the data was Log-transformed as suggested by Hopkins [19]. The typical error as expressed as a coefficient of variation was CV% = 5.3 (7.8, 4.1) percent.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the reliability of the Prowler sled push over a 9.1 meter linear course with a total load of 91 kilograms in a sample of High School male football players. To our knowledge this is the first such data set presented in the literature regarding Prowler sled push scores. As such, comparison with previous established norms as would be presented in Hoffman [20] are not possible.

The interclass reliability coefficient in the current study (r = 0.93) is considerably higher than test-retest reliability coefficients reported for other commonly used physical performance tests [18]. Further, if should be noted that the lower limit of the 90% confidence interval for the interclass reliability coefficient in the current study is (Lₐ = 0.82), which is considered to be high [18].

The intraclass reliability coefficient in the current study was (ICC = 0.93) was also higher than the ICC’s reported for other commonly used physical performance tests [17]. Again, it should be noted that the lower limit of the 90% confidence interval for the intraclass reliability coefficient in the current study is (ICC Lₐ = 0.85), which is considered below average acceptable [17].

The standard error of measure (SEₘᵣ) is a measure of absolute reliability [21]. The SEₘᵣ in the current study suggests that when measuring the Prowler sled push as described in this study (and in this population) that the true score is within ± 0.25 seconds of the measured score (68% confidence). The implication here is that if a coach is attempting to use the Prowler sled push to measure of change horizontal plane power output then the score change must exceed 0.25 seconds or it could likely be that the change in scores recorded was simply measurement error. It should also be noted that when scores reflect non-uniform error (not the case in the current study), the SEₘᵣ is biased and likely over estimates error in the lower scores and underestimates the error in the higher scores. Although we did not encounter evidence in the data suggesting bias or non-uniformity of error, we choose to attempt to make a correction to the data to ensure that our reliability examination was extensive.

In order to correct for non-uniformity of error Hopkins [19] suggests Log-transforming the scores and expressing the error as “typical error” or a CV%. The CV% with 90% confidence limits represents (CV% = 5.3: 7.8, 4.1) a non-bias measure of error that should be used as a bench mark when attempting to measure change in the Prowler sled push scores in this population. It should be noted here that the CV% = 5.3 would be 0.27 seconds which is approximately equal to the SEₘᵣ in the current study (SEₘᵣ = 0.25 seconds).

As we initially analyzed the data we considered removing what we perceived as an outlier score. However, we choose not to because of the limited number of participants (the results reported in the preceding section include the outlier score). As a point of interest, we did re-run the statistics with the outlier score removed. The interclass correlation coefficient was r = 0.96 (0.90, 0.98), and the intraclass correlation coefficient was ICC = 0.96 (0.91, 0.99). Both coefficients suggest near ideal reliability for the
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Prowler sled push scores.

The trials were essentially split in that of the 16 participants 7 had faster trial one scores and 9 had faster trial two scores. As such, it would appear that fatigue did not impact the trial scores. Which would indicate that the rest periods between trials, the one practice trial, and the warmup selected for this study were appropriate.

The participants in this study were familiar with the Prowler and the particular pushing mechanics used in the current study. This familiarity likely added to the extremely reliable results noted in the current study. Future studies should include participants that are as familiar with the Prowler and focus on different sport/gender populations. Likewise, additional efforts should focus on different lengths of the push distance and load placed on the Prowler sled.

The load placed on the Prowler in the current study was selected as it was close to the average body weight of the participants (as well as the body weight of an opponent football player). College and professional football players are in general much larger in body size than high school players and would likely be better served by training/assessing with higher loads placed on the Prowler. Research regarding optimal loads for sled towing have focused on percentage of body mass (5, 12.5, and 20%) [4]. Clearly the sled towing loads used for enhancing speed development would be too low for the purpose of developing horizontal plane power output of the sort described in the introduction.

A key point to keep in mind when using the Prowler sled push as a measure of horizontal plane power out is the horizontal plane resistive load of the sled. The resistive load of the sled is equal to the total weight of the loaded sled multiplied by the coefficient of friction between the sled ground surface and the ground surface covering (grass turf in this case). This study used a field of grass that was recently cut and dry. To assure reliable trials with the Prowler sled push test one must make sure that the turf conditions (height & moisture) as well outside temperature are relatively the same on test dates. This precautionary note is due to the fact that the coefficient of friction of the grass turf can vary due to temperature, moisture and grass length.

Another point worthy of discussing has to do with the actual value of collecting a second trial of Prowler sled push scores. Generally speaking, individuals typically have better scores during subsequent repeated trials of a physical test due to some type of learning (in the absence of appreciable fatigue). Since that was not the case with the second trial of Prowler sled push scores, and given that the average difference in trial scores was 0.01 seconds, then why conduct two trials if one is looking for max performance? Is it possible that you only need one trial of Prowler sled push scores to establish horizontal plane power output in this population? Conversely, given the ease of collecting two trials and in the absence of fatigue on trial two scores, why not collect two trials of Prowler sled push scores to establish horizontal plane power output?

5. Conclusions

Within the parameters of this study, the Prowler sled push scores demonstrated a very high degree of reliability and should be considered a reliable test for measuring horizontal plane power output in High School male football players. The Prowler resistance sled is a versatile training implement with a high degree of task specificity and ease of use. Further, the Prowler sled push, when used to assess horizontal plane power output, is an easily administered test which is specific to a number of sport tasks. The authors recommend its use to physical educators and youth sport coaches who work with this population.

Conflict Statement

None of the authors have any known conflict of interest with the manufacturer of the Prowler or otherwise.

References


