Analysis of Multi-ingredient Food Supplements by Fingerprint HPTLC Approach
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Abstract: The increase of import/export of every kind of herbal products calls urgently for adequate controls. Analysis of herbal food supplement (botanicals) is a difficult task, like in the composition determination of a multi-ingredient product, where several botanical drugs were used. Actually, this is an important argument in consideration of health security. The authors reported the results of an analytical approach based on HPTLC (high performance thin layer chromatography) fingerprints comparison and tailored to determine the composition of marketed multi-ingredient botanicals. The method gave positive data in case of the presence of 3-5 species, whereas difficulties were recorded when the number of plants is increased.
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1. Introduction

Actually, the quality of food is considered a central problem for human health [1]. Rapid changes in human food environment were caused by rapid urbanization, different lifestyles and longer expectative of live. Our genome is not able to adapt so rapidly, changing only 0.3% every thousands of years [2]. Among the collateral effects, it is noteworthy the increase of the several pathologies connected with obesity, as well as the appearance of new products properly tailored and marketed to counteract or balance the dysfunctions generated by food changes. The global market of these products is considered relevant and rapidly growing [3, 4].

The new products were normally classified in the food supplements sector, but their hybrid nature between drug and food, as well as consumers expectative and request for health positive effects were better expressed by several terms appositively coined, like nutraceuticals [5]. This name is directly derived from the form, recalling that of the pharmaceutical drugs, and their importance in consideration of positive benefit in physiological functions and health maintenance. Among nutraceuticals, an important place must be assigned to the food supplements named botanicals, meaning the food supplements containing only, or mainly, plant extracts. Botanicals account for about half of the nutraceuticals market, excluding probiotics.

There is a great debate on nutraceuticals, focused on their claims, utility and security in composition. The last aspect is fundamental and strictly related to quality controls, in particular in botanicals. Botanicals are directly derived from herbal tradition. Uses of herbs in traditional medicines, derived from historical references and empirical evidences, are based on multi-ingredient preparations expressing the in toto activity of the herbal drug mixture. Global market is an easy way for everywhere potential diffusion of traditional herbal drugs in form of botanicals. However, production and marketing are not adequately controlled and increasing import of spiked or adulterated botanicals or herbs generated several alarms and severe consequences [6]. Alarms are real: Medical drugs are subjected to several years of clinical tests, prescription, limit and controls of composition, whereas botanicals are free of controls,
utilized on the basis of personal advises, but the main problems come from the absence of necessary quality controls. Controls are useful if instrumentation is adequate to the analyzed sample.

Each plant extract contains hundreds of constituents, only considering the detectable ones. Until plants were considered as raw material for obtaining active principles, the control quantity was focused on few substances. Therefore, monographies of herbal drugs in pharmacopoeias are usually based on analysis restricted to one constituent representative of a class of natural products, not considering that similar species contains similar constituents. Furthermore, the botanicals appearance shifted the activity to the phytocomplex and changed completely the analytical point of view and enlarged the perspective to the entire metaboloma.

New instrumentations were properly tailored to face the complexity of plant extracts [7]. HPTLC (high performance thin layer chromatography) is the last evolution of planar chromatography [8, 9]. HPTLC results in a track of several separated spots, each corresponding to a plant constituent [10]. The total track, named fingerprint, is a reliable imagine of the metabolic production of the analyzed plant or the total extract constitution [11]. The track can be converted in a series of peaks (densitometric conversion) to obtain a quantitative analysis. Identification can be achieved by RF (retention factor) comparison or each spot extracted and analyzed by mass spectrometry, in hyphenated method [12].

2. Experiments

2.1 Materials and Methods

2.1.1 Plant Materials

Extracts utilised as mono-ingredient standards were hydroalcoholic extracts (10 g/10 mL) obtained from the market or by a lab extraction of identified herbal raw materials, the last also used as reference to confirm the identities of the marketed ones. Detailed information, i.e. producers, production conditions, storage method, etc., can be obtained by directly asking the authors.

2.1.2 Chromatographic Equipments

The HPTLC system (CAMAG, Muttenz, Switzerland) consisted of (i) Linomat 5 sample applicator using 100 µL syringes and connected to a nitrogen tank; (ii) Chamber ADC 2 containing twin trough chamber 20 × 10 cm; (iii) Immersion device III; (iv) TLC Plate Heater III; (v) TLC visualizer; (vi) TLC scanner 3 linked to winCATS software. Glass plates 20 cm × 10 cm (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) with glass-backed layers silica gel 60 (2 µm thickness). Before use, plates were prewashed with methanol and dried for 3 min at 100 °C.

2.2 Sample Application

The dried extracts of the analysed samples were weighted and dissolved in methanol (6 mg/mL). Filtered solutions were applied with nitrogen flow. The operating conditions were: syringe delivery speed, 10 s µL⁻¹ (100 nL s⁻¹); injection volume, 2 µL; band width, 6 mm; distance from bottom, 15 mm.

2.3 Development and Derivatization

The HPTLC plates were developed in the automatic and reproducibly developing chamber ADC 2, saturated with the same mobile phase, ethyl acetate: dichloromethane: acetic acid: formic acid: water (100:25:10:10:11; v/v/v/v/v) for 20 min at room temperature. The developing solvents (i.e. type of solvents and ratios) were carefully optimized before the analyses. The length of the chromatogram run was 80 mm from the point of application. The developed layers were allowed to dry in air for 5 min and then derivatised with a selected solution, including anisaldehyde (1.5 mL p-anisaldehyde, 2.5 mL H₂SO₄, 1 mL AcOH in 37 mL EtOH) and/or NPR (natural product reagent) (1 g diphenylborinic acid aminoethylester in 200 mL of ethyl acetate), dried in the open air and then dipped into Macrogol reagent (1 g polyethylene glycol 400 in 20 mL of
dichloromethane). Finally, the plates are warmed for 5 min at 120 °C before inspection. All treated plates were then inspected under a UV (ultra violet) light at 254 nm or 366 nm or under reflectance and WRT (white light transmission), respectively, at a Camag TLC visualizer, before and after derivatization. CAMAG DigiStore2 digital system with winCATS software 1.4.3 was used for the documentation of derivatised plates.

Only parts of the images of the HPTLC plates, obtained in different conditions, are reported, because of the available space in this report, but the other images are available under request.

2.4 Validation

Sample solutions of the extracts were found to be stable at 4 °C for at least one month and for at least 3 days on the HPTLC plates. Repeatability was determined by running a minimum of three analyses. RF values for main selected compounds varied ± 0.02%. The effects of small changes in the mobile phase composition, mobile phase volume, duration of saturation were minute and reduced by the direct comparison. On the contrary, the results were critically dependent on pre-washing of HPTLC plates with methanol.

3. Results and Discussion

If the analysis of a single extract is a difficult job, the situation is very complicated when botanicals are derived from the utilization of several plant extracts. Botanicals are more or less directly derived from the tradition and rituals of millenary traditional medicine. Herbalist knows personally plants to be used and the suitable preparations. On the contrary botanicals are technological products derived from a sequence of industrial steps, including the market of processed raw materials very distant from the geographic and form origins. So far, solutions for this kind of products were essentially based on identification of the main species by marker compounds. Analysis of multi-ingredient botanicals reported the use of NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) or HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) by comparison with single selected markers, like ginsenosides to test the presence of *Ginkgo biloba* [13].

The importance of HPTLC tool is based on the efficacy in separation and consequently the possibility in checking the entire metaboloma and easily evidencing similarities and differences [14]. Albeit HPLC remains the best analytic tool, HPTLC is practical, low cost, easy to perform, time and solvent saving. Furthermore, the results are very evident and can be understand also by people which have no chemistry knowledge.

The method here described is based on fingerprint approach. WHO, US Herbal Pharmacopoeia and P.R. Chinese Pharmacopoeia confirmed utility and importance of HPTLC and fingerprint approach [15-18]. Considering that an extract can be identified on the basis of its fingerprint, the authors obtained the fingerprint of each species reported in the label, as individual track of the corresponding extract. The fingerprint of the mixture of the extracts was also obtained. All tracks, including that of the mixture, were compared with that of the analyzed product. In principle, a part from quantitative differences, the fingerprint of the mixture made in laboratory and that of the product should be very similar.

In Figs. 1-3, the authors report the results obtained applying the proposed method to three different marketed botanicals. In Fig. 1, the simplest case of a botanical containing two species is reported. The presence of predominant spots in the fingerprint is easy to follow in each track. As expected, the track of the mixture is fixing with that of the analysed botanical. In Fig. 2, a similar product is reported, but the second species is present with two different plant drugs, i.e. flowers and fruits, in order to show the efficiency of the method in such case. Finally, in Fig. 3, the analysis of a botanical made by three different species is reported, showing the evidence of the
Fig. 1  HPTLC analysis on a multi-ingredient botanical containing lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis* L.) and greater burdock (*Arctium lappa* L.). (a): visualization: 366 nm; derivatization: none. (b): visualization: 366 nm; derivatization: NP reagent; (c): visualization: 366 nm; derivatization: NP reagent and Anhysaldeide. Tracks: 1, marketed analysed product; 2, lemon balm extract standard fingerprint; 3, greater burdock extract standard fingerprint; 4, mixture of extracts of tracks 2 and 3.

Fig. 2  HPTLC analysis on a multi-ingredient botanical containing common horsetail (*Equisetum arvense* L.) and common elder (*Sambucus nigra* L.). (a): visualization: 366 nm; derivatization: none. (b): visualization: 366 nm; derivatization: NP reagent; (c): visualization: 366 nm; derivatization: NP reagent and Anhysaldeide. Tracks: 1, marketed analysed product; 2, common horsetail extract standard fingerprint; 3, common elder flowers extract standard fingerprint; 4, common elder flowers extract fruits standard fingerprint; 5, mixture of extracts of tracks 2-4.
reliability of the method also in this case. However, further analysis showed that with the increasing of the number of herbal species present in the product, the identification of all the drugs resulted quite difficult, because of the quantity of spots and the overlapping in some zones of the track. Therefore, each case must be individually considered in its complexity and the method consequently adapted, including the use of selected markers in order to help the identification of some species.

4. Conclusions

Analysis of multi-ingredient botanical food supplements is still a problem. In the analyses the fingerprint of the marketed multi-ingredient botanicals was compared with the fingerprints of the extracts of the constituent plants and a full mixture of the constituent extracts in a ratio as possible similar to that of the marketed product, when reported.

In concern with the fingerprint method the authors proposed a different approach. The method appeared useful and reliable for mixture of 3-5 species, whereas results are not totally reliable as the number of species increases. In these cases the identity of some species can still be achieved but not the totality of the composition owing to the quantity of different constituents present. As a matter of fact plants present in low quantity can not be certainly identified and possible overlapping of spots can complicate the situation.

However, the approach resulted positive, rapid and low cost, in particular adapt to evidence the presence of herbs, or other constituents, not reported in the label. In other cases, a supplement of analysis is required.
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