Laboratory Evaluation of the Stiffness Modulus of a Modified Bituminous Concrete with PR PLAST Sahara
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Abstract: Uneven roads surface can be observed on bituminous pavements. This is due to moving loads and climate conditions. If the observed deformations exceed the elastic limit, important damages can occur, so new materials are used to improve the stiffness modulus of bituminous mixtures. To achieve this, a modified bituminous concrete by addition of the PR PLAST Sahara (produced by PR industries and PLAST for Plastic) mainly used in arid region has been studied. The use of this additive at various percentages 0.1, 0.3, 0.6 and 0.9 by weight of bituminous concrete has been investigated to determine its stiffness modulus. An experimental design using the Taguchi tables has been elaborated to reduce the number of tests. Marshall and NAT (Nottingham asphalt tester) tests have been carried out, and a mathematical model of the stiffness modulus has been proposed.
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1. Introduction

Laboratory tests on bituminous materials are commonly used to improve their characteristics [1, 2]. For many decades, conventional bituminous materials have been used satisfactorily in most roadway applications. However, due to traffic loading and environmental factors such as temperature, air, and water, the durability of the asphalt concrete mixtures can be greatly affected [3, 4]. Improving bituminous mixture properties by using several additives to enhance roadway performance will be of great importance in the field of transportation research [5]. The use of artificial polymers as additives, by chemical or physical combination, has led to a better performance of conservative asphalts. The thermoplastic nature of these binders has displayed the aptitude to combine property of softness, strong point and linkage to increase highways service live [6]. Improved properties also include greater resistance to aging and stability at high temperatures. Polymers, which are the most commonly used additives in binder modification, can be classified into four main categories, namely elastomers, plastomers, fibers and coatings. To achieve the goal of improving bitumen properties, a selected polymer should create a secondary network or new balance system within bitumen by molecular interactions or by chemical reaction with the binder [7]. The formation of a functional modified bitumen system is based on the fines dispersion of polymer in bitumen for which the chemical composition of bitumen is important [8]. Among polymers, the elastomer SBS (styrene-butadiene-styrene) block copolymer is the most widely used. It increases the elasticity of bitumen. It has been identified that SBS can obviously improve the mechanical properties of mixtures such as aging [9, 10], permanent deformation [11-17], low temperature cracking [18-20] and moisture damage resistance [21-23].

The semi-crystalline copolymer, EVA (ethylene vinyl acetate) is classified as plastomer that modifies
bitumen by forming a tough, rigid, three-dimensional network to resist deformation and is one of the main plastomers used in road construction in order to improve both the workability of the asphalt during construction and its deformation resistance [23-25].

Fibers can also be used for modification of bitumen. It should be noted that different types of fibers (polyester, polyacrylonitrile, lignin, asbestos, etc.) increase rutting resistance of the bitumen [26-28].

Better rutting and cracking resistances have been obtained by addition of bentonite clay and organically modified bentonite clay [29] and nanoclay [30]. Montmorillonite and organically modified montmorillonite have also enhanced the rutting resistance of the bitumen [31].

Many studies about modified bitumen properties and their effects have been reported in the literature. Among them the TEGPB (triethylene glycol based synthetic polyboron) modified bitumen was investigated. It was reported that the effect of TEGPB is to provide additional resistance for roadways to resist pavement distresses and it was recommended to use in hot climate regions [32]. Research was conducted to investigate the effect of mixing process on PP (polypropylene) modified bitumen mixed with well graded aggregate to form modified bituminous concrete mix [33]. The influence of HDPE (high density polyethylene) on mechanical properties was also investigated [34]. The effects of waste polymer modifier were evaluated, too [35-45]. Development of models to predict asphalt pavements properties and behaviour was also performed [46-50].

The aim of this study is to determine the stiffness modulus of a bituminous mixture with PR PLAST Sahara as an additive using the Nottingham asphalt tester. The physical and mechanical properties of polymer modified binder-aggregate mixes were evaluated with conventional tests such as Marshall stability. In addition, Taguchi method [51-56] was used in order to reduce the number of samples and to develop a model for the stiffness modulus.

2. Taguchi Method and Research Model

The Taguchi method consists of experimental planning with the objective of acquiring data in a controlled way to obtain information about the behaviour of a given process. The main advantage of Taguchi method over the conventional experimental design method is that it minimizes the cost and the time needed.

2.1 Adjustment of the Linear Model

The following development is given for clarity of the procedure. It is well known in statistical domain. A linear model is an equation where a response \( y \) is function of \( k \) variables \( x_i \), it can be written as follows:

\[
y = c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x_i + e
\]

where, \( c \) and \( a_i \) are coefficients to be estimated and \( e \) is a random error. The estimated response \( \hat{y} \) is calculated so that the residue \( r \) is minimal:

\[
r = y - \hat{y}
\]

The estimated coefficients are determined by the least square method (sketched in Fig. 1).

In Fig. 1, \( X \) is the test matrix in which each line \( i \) contains the \( k \) variables of experiment \( i \), \( A \) is a vector which contains the coefficients to be determined, \( Y \) is the responses vector and \( E \) is the residues vector.

Assuming that \( Y \) follows a Gaussian distribution, lets \( \hat{Y} \) be the estimated response vector and \( \hat{A} \) be the estimated coefficient vector, so it can be written:

![Fig. 1 Matrix representation of the linear model [52].](image)
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\( \hat{Y} = X \hat{A} \) \hspace{1cm} (3)

Thus,

\[ E = Y - X \hat{A} \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

The minimisation of the sum of the square of Eq. (4) let to obtain Eq. (5):

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} E'E = 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} \left[ (Y - X \hat{A})' (Y - X \hat{A}) \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

Development of Eq. (5) allows to write:

\[ \partial (E'E) = 2 (Y - X \hat{A})' \partial (Y - X \hat{A}) \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

then

\[ X'Y - X'X \hat{A} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

so the obtained estimated coefficient vector is:

\[ \hat{A} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

It can be shown, using covariance matrices that a best estimation is obtained for a matrix \((X'X)^{-1}\) with small diagonal terms and null non diagonal terms [52]. This rule is the base of experimental design [52].

2.2 Taguchi’s Table

In order to obtain more robust processes in the varying environmental conditions and the variability in components, after bringing the mean performance value to the target value, Genichi Taguchi reported that experimental designs could be utilized in making the variability around this target a minimum. According to Taguchi, the performance of a product may be affected by: (1) environmental conditions; (2) components. Parameters affecting the product may be divided into two groups: (1) controllable; (2) uncontrollable. Controllable parameters can further be divided into three groups with respect to their effects: (1) control parameters; (2) adjustment parameters; (3) ineffective parameters.

The objective is to select the best combination of control parameters so that the process is most robust with respect to noise factors [56]. The Taguchi method utilizes orthogonal arrays from design of experiments theory to study a large number of variables with a small number of experiments. Using orthogonal arrays significantly reduces the number of experimental configurations to be studied.

Furthermore, the conclusions drawn from small scale experiments are valid over the entire experimental region spanned by the control factors and their settings [51-56].

In this study, the parameters are considered for the studied bituminous concrete in Table 1.

The variation levels for the considered parameters are shown in Table 1.

According to the parameters in Table 1 and their variation levels, orthogonal array is derived.

L16 \( (2^{15}) \) Taguchi’s table is used in this study [54]. The mix proportions and temperature are defined as shown in Table 2.

2.3 Stiffness Modulus Model

In this study, interactions between parameters are not considered. The interaction between parameters has low impact on the stiffness modulus and has complicated the model without significant contribution [48].

Table 1  Selected factors and levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binder content</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of additive</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Details of trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial number</th>
<th>Binder content</th>
<th>Percent of additive</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performing NAT trials allowed bituminous concrete rigidity to be determined, so the stiffness modulus can be written as:

\[ Y = a_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 \]  

(9)

where, \( Y \) is the stiffness modulus of the modified bituminous concrete, \( x_1 \) is the temperature value, \( x_2 \) is the percentage of the binder content, \( x_3 \) is the percentage of the additive and \( a_0, a_1, a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are the coefficients to be determined. These ones are obtained by the least square method. The terms of superior order are neglected because of their low effect and the parameter variation is small.

### 3. Materials

#### 3.1 Bitumen

40/50 penetration grade bitumen was obtained from NAFTEC Company and used throughout the study. Properties of this bitumen are listed in Table 3.

#### 3.2 Aggregates

Crushed aggregates were supplied from Keddara quarry of Boumerdes/Algeria and used in this study. Fig. 2 shows the specification limits of aggregates. Tables 4 and 5 summarize their main characteristics.

#### Table 3  Properties of the bitumen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penetration 25 °C, 1/10 mm</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softening point (°C)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.0-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductility (cm)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4  Physical characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregate</th>
<th>Sand (0/3)</th>
<th>Gravel (3/8)</th>
<th>Gravel (8/15)</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity (t/m³)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles abrasion test (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.01</td>
<td>23.84</td>
<td>≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro Deval test (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand equivalent at 10%</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≥ 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flakiness index (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning of coarse aggregate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue methylene value</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Additive

The PR PLAST Sahara is a plastomer. It is provided in the form of granules varying from 0 to 2 mm. It is used to manufacture wearing course and binder course of hot mix asphalts in desert areas or in hot climate regions. Its anti-shrinkage blended with bitumen allows formulating mixtures resistance to rutting and surface anti cracking in hot climate. Its processing temperature ranges between at least 160 °C and 170 °C and its gravity varies from 0.910 to 0.965. The recommended additive content ranges between 0.2% and 0.6% by weight of aggregates.

4. Test Methods and Results

Aggregates play an important role in the mechanical performance of the mix. For this reason, the grain size skeleton was mostly composed of 8/15 mm (40% of the total) and 3/8 (15% of the total) coarse aggregate to provide the mix with bearing capacity. The remaining was composed of 0/3 fraction fine aggregate (45% of the total). The grading curve of the bitumen concrete used in this study is given in Fig. 3.

4.1 Samples Preparation

HMAC (hot mix asphalt concrete) is produced by heating the asphalt and aggregates. Then the additive is incorporated. The binder content, the additive and the temperature used are given in Table 2. In this investigation, the hot mixtures asphalts were characterised through Marshall tests. The dimensions of the cylindrical specimens are 101.6 mm diameter by 63.5 mm height. The specimens were compacted by applying 75 blows on each side of the specimen in accordance with ASTM D 1559 then stored at ambient temperature for one day. Before performing Marshall tests, the compactness is measured. Then, the standard specimens were immersed in water at 60 °C for 30 min and then loaded to failure at a constant rate of compression of 0.850 mm/s (Table 6).

Fig. 3  Mix grading curves.
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Table 6  Mix characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binder content (%)</th>
<th>4.85</th>
<th>4.70</th>
<th>4.55</th>
<th>4.40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compactness</td>
<td>98.53</td>
<td>98.29</td>
<td>97.82</td>
<td>97.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each test is repeated at least three times.

The compactness decreases by decreasing the binder content.

4.2 Marshall Stability and Flow Test

The Marshall stability value corresponds to the maximum force recorded during test while the flow is the deformation noted at the maximum force. They are given in Table 7.

The best result corresponds to trial number 11.

The six best results were chosen in order to perform the measure of the stiffness modulus.

4.3 NAT (Nottingham Asphalt Tester)

The Nottingham asphalt tester in Fig. 4 allows measuring the elastic modulus of a bitumen concrete. This indirect tensile test is often used in laboratory characterization of bituminous mixtures. It gives the stiffness modulus with good accuracy for a wide range of test methods and sample sizes, when sinusoidal cyclic tests are considered. Nevertheless, it is a delicate measurement and some conditions should be respected [1]. In order to deal with these conditions, the test consists of performing five test pulses at a frequency of 10 Hz, a temperature of 15 °C and a commonly used Poisson’s ratio of 0.35 (defined by EN 12697-26:2004). The elastic modulus is measured at each pulsation. The obtained modulus is the average of the five values. Each test is done for at least three samples. The results are summarized in Table 8.

4.4 Mathematical Model

Applying Eq. (8), the following system is written:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_1 \\
E_2 \\
E_3 \\
E_4 \\
E_5 \\
E_6
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
a_3 \\
a_4 \\
a_5
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_1 \\
E_2 \\
E_3 \\
E_4 \\
E_5 \\
E_6
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1 \\
1 & T_1 & C_1 & A_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
a_3 \\
a_4 \\
a_5
\end{bmatrix}
\] (10)

Table 7  Marshall results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Percent of additive</th>
<th>Marshall stability (kN)</th>
<th>Flow (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1,150</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8  NAT results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Stiffness modulus of each sample (MPa)</th>
<th>Average stiffness modulus (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,492, 12,000, 13,236 E_1 = 12,576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12,312, 12,100, 12,629 E_2 = 12,347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12,622, 12,515, 13,032 E_3 = 12,723</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12,440, 12,306, 13,000 E_4 = 12,582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13,587, 13,228, 16,208 E_5 = 14,341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13,367, 13,536, 15,601 E_6 = 14,168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4  NAT test.

Table 8  NAT results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Stiffness modulus of each sample (MPa)</th>
<th>Average stiffness modulus (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,492, 12,000, 13,236 E_1 = 12,576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12,312, 12,100, 12,629 E_2 = 12,347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12,622, 12,515, 13,032 E_3 = 12,723</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12,440, 12,306, 13,000 E_4 = 12,582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13,587, 13,228, 16,208 E_5 = 14,341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13,367, 13,536, 15,601 E_6 = 14,168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i.e.,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
12,576 \\
12,347 \\
12,723 \\
12,582 \\
14,341 \\
14,168
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
170 & 4.55 & 0.3 \\
170 & 4.85 & 0.3 \\
200 & 4.55 & 0.1 \\
200 & 4.85 & 0.1 \\
200 & 4.40 & 0.3 \\
200 & 4.70 & 0.3
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
a_3 \\
a_4 \\
a_5
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(11)
A resolution by the least square method leads to derived Eq. (9) as:
\[ E = 3,382.42 + 56.75T - 603.33C + 7,557.50A \]   \( \text{(12)} \)
where, \( T \) is the temperature, \( C \) is the percentage of binder contains, \( A \) is the percentage of additive and \( E \) is the stiffness modulus.

The uncertainty on this modulus can be stated:
\[ \frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{\Delta C}{C} + \frac{\Delta T}{T} + \frac{\Delta A}{A} \]   \( \text{(13)} \)

For the best result of \( E \), the uncertainty is:
\[ \frac{\Delta E}{E} = 2.6\% \]   \( \text{(14)} \)
so Eq. (12) can be written in the following form:
\[ E = 3,382.42 + 56.75T - 603.33C + 7,557.50A \pm 370 \text{ MPa} \]   \( \text{(15)} \)

Typically, a stiffness model should include temperature, loading frequency, aggregate grading characteristics, binder type and mixture volumetric characteristics as factors. Some of them are considered in the given model. An investigation could be conducted in order to develop another model taking into account all these factors but this will increase the number of tests and the cost. Nevertheless, the developed model gives an accurate stiffness modulus with less effort and can be used as a basis to develop a more accurate predictive model.

5. Conclusions

A bituminous concrete modified by the new additive, PR PLAST Sahara, has been investigated and the following conclusions have been drawn:

(1) The use of Taguchi method in experimental design allowed the authors to perform 16 tests instead of the original 32. This allows them to gain time and reduce costs;

(2) The optimum conditions determined by the method in a laboratory environment are reproducible in situ;

(3) Marshall stability has been improved by PR PLAST Sahara modification which would be worthwhile for the bituminous mixtures to resist permanent deformations such as rutting;

(4) The optimum conditions are additive content of 0.3%, binder content of 4.40% and a temperature of 200 °C;

(5) The stiffness modulus has been improved by the addition of the PR PLAST Sahara and a mathematical model has been developed;

(6) This model can be used for studying the influence of the different parameters;

(7) The proposed model is valid in the ranges given in Table 9;

(8) The PR PLAST Sahara greatly improves the mixed asphalt’s resistance to rutting and modulus of elasticity of the bituminous mix.

Consequently, PR PLAST Sahara will provide additional resistance for roadways to resist pavement distresses. PR PLAST Sahara modified concrete bitumen is recommended to use in hot climate regions, in expressways, in roadways with heavy vehicle traffic, at bus stations, at horizontal curved sections and at roadway junctions. This is of a high interest for professional contributors in building highways in hot climate region (Middle East and African countries).
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