Robust Classification of Remote Sensing Data for Green Space Analysis
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Abstract: All of the Landsat 7 data collected after 2003 contains missing pixels in the form of unsightly stripes across the images. To recover missing data of a Landsat image, different methods may be used. However, the gap filling process creates inconsistencies on pixel intensity values. The incongruous pixel numbers are anomalous observations and their classification in the reference specter is challenging. In an effort to contribute to this need, we propose a reliable robust approach to classify inconsistent pixels after the gap filling process. To estimate multivariate location-scale parameters a new robust DMVV (depth minimum vector variance estimator) is presented. The DMVV algorithm does not require any matrix inversion for its calculation, consequently its computational time is highly reduced. The results show that it has a high breakdown point and is very efficient for large data set. Landsat remote sensing data of Jakarta Province across years 2002 and 2010 are used as case study.
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1. Introduction

Landsat satellites data are frequently used to analyze land-use and land-cover changes, [1, 9-11]. A common approach of land cover change studies using Landsat data has been to use images to classify land into different categories, and to quantify changes in categories across different dates in time [4, 12, 16, 17, 19].

Since 2003, the, SLC (scan line corrector), of Landsat 7 failed and the failure appears to be permanent. The non-functioning SLC causes large gaps at the edges of the image. Aiming to restore an image, a gap filling procedure is applied [22]. However, the gap filling process arises the problem of inconsistencies on pixel intensity values. The incongruous pixel numbers are anomalous observations and their classification in the reference specter is challenging because, it requires data processing methods, capable to classify inconsistent pixels and produce consistent land-cover monitoring. In an effort to contribute to this need, we have developed a reliable robust approach to classify discordant pixels after the gap filling process for land cover change studies using Landsat data.

The cornerstone of robust statistics is the robust estimation of multivariate location-scale parameters. Pioneering work in this area has been can be found in [5, 8, 15]. In statistical literature, we find several high breakdown estimators for multivariate mean and covariance matrix. A well known and largely used robust estimator is the MCD (minimum covariance determinant) [13]. Under regularity conditions, Hawkins in Ref. [6] proposed the FSA (feasible solution algorithm), which ensured an optimal solution for MCD. Afterwards, Rousseeuw and Van Driesen in Ref. [14] introduced an improved algorithm called the FMCD (fast minimum covariance determinant). The
FMCD is a robust procedure with high breakdown point, but as indicated in Ref. [18], it might be inefficient for large data sets. To improve this aspect, Herwindiati et al. in Ref. [7] proposed the MVV (minimum vector variance), which is effective for huge data sets. MVV has the same breakdown point as FMCD but its computational aspects are by far advantageous.

This paper proposes a reliable MVV algorithm for robust supervised land-cover classification in remote sensing. To estimate multivariate location-scale parameters, a new robust depth function is presented. Its calculation does not require any covariance matrix inversion [2], which is a valuable asset when one deals with huge data sets. The supervised green space classification is done with a conventional two phase process: training sites and image cell classification. The sample areas for the training step are selected by human assessors. The outcomes of training sites are the spectral references of green space, i.e. the water catchment and vegetation areas. Then spectral reference values are used to classify the entire images from Landsat satellite. The area under investigation is Jakarta Province.

In order to make this paper self contained, in Section 2 we provide a background summary of remote sensing data and preprocessing for classification. In Section 3 we describe the methods and the algorithm used to classify land-cover into different categories. Then, monitoring results of green space areas of Jakarta Province across years 2002 and 2010 are presented in Section 4. The paper concludes with additional remarks and references.

2. Remote Sensing Materials

Landsat satellites have been providing multispectral images of Earth continuously since early 1970’s. The purpose of the Landsat program is to provide world’s scientists and application engineers with a continuing stream of remote sensing data for monitoring and managing earth’s resources [20, 21]. A common approach using Landsat data has been to use images to classify land into differing categories, and to quantify changes in categories between different dates [12, 16, 19]. Land cover and land use changes are important indicators of human activities and climatic change. In Jakarta Province protected area public policies and their management by Governor’s office are central to understand the recent land cover changes [24].

2.1 Study Area

The case of research is Jakarta multispectral imaging from Landsat 7 satellite. Jakarta is the capital of Indonesia that is spread over an area of around 700 km² with population up to 9.5 million in 2010. The supervised classification is done for change detection of Jakarta green space areas. The area under investigation is covered by coordinate 5° 19’ 12” - 6° 23’ 54”S latitude and 106° 22’ 42” - 106° 58’ 18”E longitude.

2.2 Data Sets

Tiff formatted images across years 2002 and 2010 are used as inputs. Data is captured by sensors having 7 bands involving the visible spectral, NIR, and MIR. The spatial resolution of bands n° 1-5, and n° 7 are 30 m², the resolution of the sixth band is 60 m².

On May 31, 2003, SLC of Landsat 7 ETM+ (Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus), failed. Since that time all Landsat ETM+ images have wedge-shaped gaps. The impact of failure results in approximately 20% data loss. The gap filling is the preprocessing technique used to fill missing parts of remote sensed imagery. We do the gap filling procedure with the multi source. Fig. 1 reveals the Jakarta multispectral image with SLC in year 2010, and Fig. 2 shows the recovered image after the gap filling process.

3. Methods of Classification and Algorithm

3.1 Robust Minimum Vector Variance

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from a $p$-variate distribution with location parameter $\mu$ and
covariance matrix $\Sigma$. Sample mean vector and sample covariance matrix are defined respectively by

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

(1)

and

$$S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})^T$$

(2)

Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$ be eigenvalues of sample covariance matrix $S$. VV (The vector variance), of $S$ is defined by

$$VV = \text{Tr}(S^2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \ldots + \lambda_p^2$$

(3)

The advantage of VV consists in the fact that it measures multivariate dispersion even if the covariance matrix $S$ is singular.

The MVV estimators of multivariate location-scale parameters are defined as the pair $(T_{MVV}, S_{MVV})$ which minimize $\text{Tr}(S_{MVV}^2)$ among all possible sample subsets $H$ of size $h = \left\lfloor \frac{n + p + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$, with

$$T_{MVV} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i \in H} X_i,$$

$$S_{MVV} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i \in H} (X_i - T_{MVV})(X_i - T_{MVV})^T$$

(4)

(5)

$$\text{Tr}(S_{MVV}^2) = s_{11}^2 + s_{22}^2 + \ldots + s_{pp}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p} s_{ij} s_{\mu j}$$

(6)

MVV is an efficient robust estimator minimizing the square of parallelogram diagonal length. It was proposed in Ref. [7], in order to improve FMCD algorithm. By using Cholesky decomposition, we find that efficiency of MVV is of order $O(p^2)$ compared with FMCD which is of order $O(p^3)$ [7].

3.2 The Depth Function

We note $d_i^2$ the sample Mahalanobis distance defined by

$$d_i^2 = (X_i - \bar{X})^T S^{-1} (X_i - \bar{X})$$

(7)

The sample version of Mahalanobis depth of $X_i$, noted $MD_i$, is defined as;

$$MD_i = \frac{1}{1 + (X_i - \bar{X})^T S^{-1} (X_i - \bar{X})}$$

(8)

from Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) we have the following equation.

$$MD_i = \frac{1}{1 + d_i^2}$$

(9)

Part of $MD_i$ denominator is Mahalanobis distance, which requires the inversion of sample covariance matrix $S$ for its calculation. Aiming to reduce the complexity of FMCD and MVV algorithms, Djauhari and Umbara in Ref. [3], introduced a new depth function noted $|M_i|$ given by

$$|M_i| = \left| \frac{1}{(X_i - \bar{X})^T S} \right|$$

(10)

where $M_i$ is a matrix of size $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ associated to sample $X_i$, $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. By using the property of partitioned matrix determinant, we have:
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
    d_i^2 &= 1 - \frac{|M_i|}{|S|} \\
    \text{From Eq. (10) and Eq. (11) we can write;}
    MD_i &= \frac{|S|}{2 |S| - |M_i|} \tag{12}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $|S|$ and $|M_i|$ are respectively the determinants of $S$ and $M_i$.

3.3 Algorithm

The supervised green space classification is done with a conventional two phase process: training sites and image cell classification. The sample areas for the training step are selected by human assessors. The outcomes of training sites are the spectral references of green space area, i.e. the water catchment and vegetation areas. To conduct the training process, DMVV estimator is proposed. DMVV is a modified version of MVV based on depth function given in Eq. (10). Its calculation does not require the inversion of covariance matrix, which is a valuable asset when one deals with large data sets. DMVV is a robust estimator that has the same breakdown point as MVV [7]. The algorithm to conduct the training phase is as follows:

**Step (1):** Collect images of the vegetation area in size $(a \times a)$ pixels based on red-green-blue multispectral visual and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index [23]. Let \( \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \) be the training data set;

**Step (2):** Let \( H_0 \subseteq \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \) such as $\text{card } \{H_0\} = h$ with $h = \left\lceil \frac{n + p + 1}{2} \right\rceil$.

**Step (3):** Compute mean vector $\bar{X}_{H_0}$ and covariance matrix $S_{H_0}$ of $H_0$.

**Step (4):** Compute
\[
|M_i| = \left| \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
(X_i - \bar{X}_{H_0}) \cdot S_{H_0}^{-1} (X_i - \bar{X}_{H_0})' \\
\end{array} \right|
\]
for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

**Step (5):** Sort \( \{M_i \} / i = 1, \ldots, n \} \) in decreasing order, $M_1 \geq M_2 \geq \ldots \geq M_n$.

**Step (6):** Define
\[
H_w = \{X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(h)}\}.
\]

**Step (7):** From Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) calculate $\bar{X}_{H_w}$ and $S_{H_w}$ respectively mean and covariance matrix of $H_w$.

**Step (8):** If $\text{Tr} \left(S_{H_w}^2\right) = 0$ the process is stopped.
Else, if $\text{Tr} \left(S_{H_w}^2\right) \neq \text{Tr} \left(S_{H_0}^2\right)$ repeat from Step 2 to Step 7, until a stopping rule is satisfied: either according to number of iterations $k$ or by the difference $\left|\text{Tr} \left(S_{H_{w,k}}^2\right) - \text{Tr} \left(S_{H_{w,k+1}}^2\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a small constant.

**Step (9):** Let $T_{vv}$ and $S_{vv}$ be the location and covariance matrix calculated at Step 7. Based on $T_{vv}$ and $S_{vv}$ from Eq. (11) calculate robust squared distances $d_{vv,i}^2$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

**Step (10):** Determine the range of each green space spectral area as $c_1 \leq d_{vv,i} \leq c_2$ where $c_1$ is the first quartile and $c_2$ is the third quartile of $d_{vv,i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Fig. 3 displays the scatter plot of $d_{vv,i}$ for green space spectral and Fig. 4 shows the scatter plot of $d_{vv,i}$ for green space reference spectral inside the interval $c_1 \leq d_{vv,i} \leq c_2$.

4. Results

4.1 Case Study

Tiff formatted images across years 2002 and 2010 are used as inputs. Data is captured by sensors having 7 bands involving the visible spectral, NIR, and MIR. The spatial resolution of 6 bands (n° 1-5, and n° 7) are 30 m², the resolution of the sixth band is 60 m².

The area under investigation is Jakarta Province covered by coordinate (5° 19' 12" - 6° 23' 54")S latitude and (106° 22' 42" - 106° 58' 18")E longitude. The classification step is done for Jakarta Province images by using the reference spectral from the training step. Assume that $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_M$ are the pixels of whole Jakarta Province image. The distance $d_{vv,i}^2(Y_i, T_{vv})$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, M)$ is calculate. Then each pixel is classified in one of three classes: water
catchment area, vegetation area and impervious area. The impervious area is defined as surface impenetrable by water including side walks, streets, highways, parking lots and rooftops. Observation $Y_i$ is classified as impervious area if $d^2_{VV} (Y_i, T_{VV})$ is not in the interval $[c_1, c_2]$.

Figs. 5 and 6 display Jakarta pixels classification on the years 2002 and 2010, respectively. Vegetation area is labeled with green color, water catchment area is colored in yellow, and impervious area is presented with grey color.

On year 2002, the percentage of Jakarta green space was around 10.2569%. It was increased up to 11.24568% on year 2010. Table 1 shows percentages of green space areas on years 2002 and 2010.

Water catchment area on year 2010 is significantly greater than on 2002. The biggest increase has happened at Jakarta Halim Perdana Kusuma district. Fig. 7 shows land use changes. The blue color represents increased water catchment area and the red color represents decreasing one. Jakarta Halim Perdana Kusuma district is rounded by the white circle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water catchment area</th>
<th>Vegetation area</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>8.161%</td>
<td>2.096%</td>
<td>10.257%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>9.694%</td>
<td>1.552%</td>
<td>11.246%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Visualization of Area

Fig. 8 shows real visual of Jakarta Halim Perdana Kusuma borough after forestation and reforestation by Google Earth. The government of Special Capital
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Region of Jakarta and its former Governors during the period from year 2000 till year 2008 made significant efforts to repair and develop Jakarta. The green land project budget was significantly increased and the Governor’s office determined also special rules for the management and the implementation of protected area policies. For further information on special rules general program, we refer the reader to authentic official document “The Special Rules Capital Regional District Jakarta Province Number 8 of 2007”, [24].

4.3 Comparisons of Computational Times in Training Process

The DMVV is an efficient estimator for classification of large remote sensing data. Fig. 9 shows graphical representation of times to estimate green space spectral reference in training phase for MVV and DMVV estimators. DMVV has significantly lower computation time than MVV. It is interesting to note that larger is the data set greater is the difference in calculation time between MVV and DMVV. Computations were operated by MATLAB 8.00 in an Intel® Core™ i7 CPU RAM 4.00 GB processor.

5. Remarks

The advantage of $|M_i|$ as a depth measure is that it does not require any matrix inversion in its computation. Its calculation only needs the computation of the determinant of a symmetric matrix. The modified minimum vector variance with depth function, DMVV is an efficient and effective robust estimator that should be considered for classification of large data sets. The empirical results provide strong evidence that DMVV is able to reduce significantly computational time in training step and it has a high breakdown point.
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